

# Sand Hill Lakes Mitigation Bank Combined DEP/USACE Tenth Annual Report



**FDEP Permit # 0227351-001 Issued 9/6/2005, Washington County**

**Northwest Florida Water Management District  
Submitted by David Clayton  
In support of Specific Conditions 26 and 28**

## Executive Summary

The Sand Hill Lakes Mitigation Bank (SHLMB) consists of approximately 2,155 acres in southern Washington County in the Sand Hill Lakes region of the Florida Panhandle. It is located just west of the intersection of State Road (SR) 77 and SR 279 within Township 1 North, Range 14 & 15 West. The site contains 850 acres of wetlands, 155 acres of natural lakes and ponds, and 1,150 acres of upland communities. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) permit was issued September 5, 2005, and US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) permits were approved on May 16, 2006. This is the tenth annual combined FDEP and USACE report for the SHLMB, and it is written in accordance with Specific Condition 26 of the permit. All restoration activities described in the Mitigation Bank Permit have been implemented and restored habitats are improving. Interim success criteria have been met since June 2010.

The hydrologic restoration was completed by January 2008 and included the removal of two fill-roads, installation three railcar bridges, replacement of two culverts and dams, and the remediation of ten erosion sites. The hydrologic restoration continues to functioning as designed. Hydric pine flatwoods restoration has been implemented on 165 acres. Gyro-Trac shrub reduction was completed in August 2008. Herbicide treatments were conducted for three years and shrub cover has been maintained at less than 2% cover. Burns are conducted on a two year rotation. The hydric pine flatwoods were planted with 1.18 million wiregrass plants, 182,700 cut over muhly grass, 122,600 toothache grass and 72,600 mixed hydric pine flatwoods wildflowers. All plantings were conducted in accordance with Specific Condition 10 and Appendix D. In 2015, a total of 91 species and 84% cover of hydric pine flatwoods species were observed within the pedestrian transect located on the west side of Dry Pond. This is a significant increase from the two herbaceous species and 98% shrub cover observed during the baseline documentation. All upland restoration activities described in the permit have been completed since 2010 and include removal of pine plantations, eradication of shrub species, replanting with wiregrass, and reintroduction of warm season burns at a three year interval. Three hundred acres of restored sandhills have been planted with 1,387,870 wiregrass plugs. Fire was reintroduced to the SHLMB in the fall of 2004, and warm season burns were implemented in 2007. A total of three burn cycles have been completed, although areas requiring more frequent fires have had five or more burns since the bank was established. Surveys of nuisance species (flora and fauna) have been conducted throughout the past ten years. Small patches of torpedo grass (*Panicum repens*) were initially observed at the boat launches, but repeated treatments have reduced cover to incidental. Feral hog damage was observed in 2015, adjacent to Dry Pond. FWC staff eradicated six feral hogs in 2015. The annual sampling for this report was conducted in 2015 on September 23-30; October 2, 6, and 7; and November 20. Species diversity was excellent throughout the SHLMB and plants were healthy. The number of species observed continues to increase as habitats improve from implementation of restoration activities.

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table of Contents	2
List of Figures	2
List of Tables	2-3
Introduction	4-5
Bank Establishment Work Schedule	6-7
Hydrologic Enhancements	7 - 9
Fire Management	10 - 11
Exotic Fauna and Vegetation	11 - 14
Monthly Water Gage Readings	15 - 16
Sand hill Restoration	17
Hydric Pine Flatwood Restoration	17-18
Annual Monitoring	18-19
Quantitative Monitoring	19 - 42
Qualitative Monitoring	42 - 46
Appendix 1: Aerial Oblique Photography	<a href="http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com">http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com</a>
Appendix 2: Qualitative Monitoring Field forms	<a href="http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com">http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com</a>
Appendix 3: FWC Annual Report	<a href="http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com">http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com</a>

## List of Figures

Figure 1	Location Map	4
Figure 2	SHLMB Boundary Map	5
Figure 3	Approved Structures	8
Figure 4	Erosion and Stabilization Areas	9
Figure 5	Anticipated Burn Cycles	10
Figure 6	2015 Dormant and Growing Season Burns	11
Figure 7	Bahia Grass Eradication on 37 Acres of Sandhill Restoration	12
Figure 8	Centipede and Bahia Grass Eradication on 46 Acres of Sandhill Restoration	13
Figure 9	Water Level Staff Gage Locations	16
Figure 10	Hydric Pine Flatwoods Restoration Areas (165 acres)	18
Figure 11	Monitoring Locations	20
Figure 12	Transect 1. Sand Pine Plantation Restored to Sandhill	23
Figure 13	Transect 2: Sand Pine Plantation Restored to Sandhill	25
Figure 14	Transect 4: Sand Pine Plantation Restored to Sandhill	26
Figure 15	Planted Longleaf Pine Seedling Survival (Transect 1)	28
Figure 16	Planted Longleaf Pine Seedling Survival (Transect 2)	28
Figure 17	Planted Longleaf Pine Seedling Survival (Transect 4)	29
Figure 18	Transect 3. Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)	31
Figure 19	Transect 5: Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)	33
Figure 20	Planted longleaf Pine Seedlings Survival (Transect 3)	34
Figure 21	Planted longleaf Pine Seedlings Survival (Transect 5)	34
Figure 22	Transect 8. Species Cover and Occurrence (Hydric Flatwoods Restoration)	37
Figure 23	Transect 6. Species Cover and Occurrence (Hydric Flatwoods Restoration)	39
Figure 24	Transect 7. Species Cover and Occurrence (Hydric Flats Restoration)	40

## List of Tables

Table 1	Restoration Work Schedule	6-7
Table 2	Hog Eradication Conducted at the SHLMB During 2015	14
Table 3	Monthly Water Gage Readings	15
Table 4	Transect 1. Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Restoration)	22
Table 5	Transect 2. Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Restoration)	24
Table 6	Transect 4. Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Restoration)	26-27
Table 7	Transect 3. Species and Occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)	30
Table 8	Transect 5. Species and Occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)	32-33

Table 9	Transect 8. Species and Occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwood Restoration)	36
Table 10	Transect 6. Species and Occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwood Restoration)	38-39
Table 11	Transect 7. Species and Occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwoods Restoration)	40
Table 12	Transect 9. Species and Occurrence (Dykes Mill Pond Restoration)	41

## Introduction

The Sand Hill Lakes Mitigation Bank (SHLMB) consists of 2,155 acres in southern Washington County in the Sand Hill Lakes region of the Florida Panhandle (Figure 1). It is located just west of the intersection of SR77 and SR 279, and is within Township 1 North, Range 14 & 15 West. The property contains 850 acres of wetlands including high quality cypress sloughs and strands, hydric pine flatwoods restoration, bayheads, seepage slopes, and approximately 155 acres of natural solution ponds and shallow, gently-sloped lakes connected by streams and ditches. The uplands consist of 1,150 of hardwood oak communities, sandhills and sandhill restoration (Figure 2).

The SHLMB occurs on the divide between the Choctawhatchee and St. Andrew Bay watersheds. The majority of the Bank is in the surface headwaters of Pine Log Creek, which flows westerly and southwesterly to Pine Log State Forest and ultimately to the Choctawhatchee River and Bay. However, because of the karst nature of the Sand Hill Lakes region, the SHLMB is also within a recharge area for Econfina Creek, which, via Deer Point Lake, is the water supply for Panama City, ultimately discharging to St. Andrew Bay.




Figure 1. Location Map






Figure 2. SHLMB boundary map

**Bank Establishment and Mitigation Work Schedule**  
 Specific Conditions 1-5, 7, 8, and 14

The permit for the Sand Hill Lakes Mitigation Bank (SHLMB) was issued by the FDEP on September 5, 2005. The USACE Mitigation Banking Instrument (MBI) was approved on May 16, 2006. This is the tenth annual combined FDEP and USACE report for the SHLMB. Construction activities were initiated in July 2006 and completed by January 2008. All restoration activities described in the Mitigation Bank permit have been completed and restored habitats are improving with regular burn intervals. Interim success criteria have been met since 2011. The mitigation work schedule is included below (Table 1).

Table 1. Restoration work schedule

<b>Restoration Work Activity</b>	<b>Estimated Completion Date</b>
Conservation easement, QMS	Completed 3/06
Fencing and signage	Completed 3/05
Site security / law enforcement / internal gaiting / road closures	Ongoing
Stabilization of 10 erosion sites	Completed 3/2007
Hydrologic enhancements	
- Replacement of Black Pond dam	Initiated 10/07 Completed 1/08
- Removal of Dykes Mill Pond dam	Initiated 7/06 Completed 8/06
- Removal of road fill at (3) sites	Initiated 7/06 Completed 3/07
- Construction of 2 bridges and replacement of 3 culverts	Initiated 7/06 Completed 3/07
Removal of pine plantation and thinning of slash pine	Initiated 7/07 Completed 10/2007  Additional thinning of Management Unit 3 to reduce pine densities to <200 ac (August 2012)  Sand pine seedlings were eradicated from 158 acres former sand pine plantation restored to sand hills in August 2012.
Removal of oak overgrowth and replanting with longleaf pine	Completed: Oak removed 2005/2006, additional oak removal (ULW, 6/2009) 150 acres oak and shrub reduction, 12/10  Pine planted 2005 and 12/2007. Additional hardwood removal in 2009 and 2011.  Additional hardwood removal was conducted for 40 acres in the sand hill restoration in August 2012.
80% completion of initial growing season and fuel reduction fires in areas to be maintained as oak / pine community	Completed 12/2005
Initial thinning, roller chopping, and fuel reduction fires in hydric pine	Completed Initial burns 8/05  Completed required shrub reduction (Gyro-Track) 08/08  Completed Pine thinning 10/07 Fuel reduction burns following timbering harvesting and Gyro-Trac completed 12/08

<b>Restoration Work Activity</b>	<b>Estimated Completion Date</b>
<p>Supplemental wiregrass seeding if necessitated by onsite conditions.</p> <p>To date within the 169 acres of hydric pine flatwoods restoration site, 1.18 million wire grass plugs, 182,700 cut over muhly grass, 122,600 tooth ache grass and 72,600 mixed hydric pine flatwoods wildflowers have been established in the hydric pine flatwoods restoration area in accordance with Specific Condition 10.</p> <p>Road fill removal areas were planted with sapling cypress and black gum and shrub species in 2009 in accordance with Specific Condition 10.</p> <p>A total of 646 acres of sandhill and sandhill restoration were planted with longleaf pine at a rate of 436 trees per acre in accordance with Specific Condition 10.</p>	<p>2008/2012 Planting</p> <p>*Supplemental planting maybe added if poor survival is observed in the future.</p>
Installation of water level gages	Completed 12/05
Baseline assessments of vegetation, First Annual Report	Completed /2006
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 1 / 2 <sup>nd</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2007
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 2/ 3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2008
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 3 / 4 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2009
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 4 / 5 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2010
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 5 / 6 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2011
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 6 / 7 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2012
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 7 / 8 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2013
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 8 / 9 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2014
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 9/ 10 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	Completed 2015
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 10 /11 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 11 /12 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 12 /13 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 13 /14 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	
Fire Management / Monitoring Year 14 /15 <sup>th</sup> Annual Report	
Perpetual Ecological Management	Year 15+

### **Hydrologic Enhancements** Specific Condition 12

Hydrologic enhancements included the removal of two fill-road crossings, installation of bridges at three crossings and two culverts and the removal or replacement of two failing water control structures, the remediation of ten erosion areas, the stabilization of a boat launch (Figures 3 and 4). All water control structures, culverts and road removals and erosion stabilization areas continue to functioning as designed.






Figure 3. Approved structures




Figure 4. Erosion stabilization areas



## Fire Management

### Specific Condition 11: Prescribed fire

The bank is divided into 14 Management Units that range from 0.25 to 580 acres. Prescribed fire is an integral component of management, enhancement and restoration at the SHLMB. Fire was re-introduced to the SHLMB during the winter of 2004 and all burns were completed by December of 2005. Anticipated burn cycles were developed for the SHLMB (Figure 5). During 2015, a total of 425 acres were burned at the SHLMB (UMAM polygons III and V) (Figure 6). Three hundred and one acres were burned during the dormant season and 124 acres were burned during the growing season (Figure 6). An additional, 61 acres adjacent to Dry Pond were scheduled to be burned during the growing season but onsite conditions did not allow for the burn to be completed. This area will be burned during the 2016 growing season.

Fire prescriptions have been written to comply with open burning laws (Florida Statutes, Chapter 590) and liability considerations. Safety and protection of property will continue to be the priority concern of the Florida Certified Prescribed Burn Manager (FCMB).




Figure 5. Anticipated burn cycles




Figure 6. 2015 Dormant and growing season burns

### Exotic Fauna and Vegetation

#### Specific Condition 22, 25

Surveys of nuisance species are conducted throughout the year. Initially small patches of torpedo grass (*Panicum repens*) were observed at the boat launches. These areas have been treated as needed and cover is incidental.

In 2015, Bahia grass (*Paspalum notatum*) coverage exceeded five percent in a 37 acre sandhil restoration area to the east of the check station (UMAM polygon II). The site was treated with herbicide in 2014 and 2015 (Figure 7). Following the warm season burn in 2014, the Bahia grass seed bank emerged and Bahia grass cover increased significantly. The University of Florida Bahia grass specialist Dr. Anne Blount



helped develop a strategy to eradicate the Bahia grass. The Bahia grass was treated in August based on her recommendations and Bahia grass cover has been greatly reduced. The site will be spot treated in 2016 if needed and replanted in wiregrass and sandhill species as needed.



Figure 7. Bahia grass eradication on 37 Acres of sandhill restoration

Site evaluations determined that centipede grass (*Eremochloa ophiuriodes*) exceeded five percent cover for 46 acres of sandhill restoration adjacent to Dry Pond and Greenhead Branch and was treated in July of 2015 (Figure 8). The herbicide treatments were successful in eradicating the Bahia and centipede grasses and no further treatment is needed.



In 2015, hog damage was observed adjacent to Dry Pond \within the hydric pine flatwoods restoration. FWC was notified and hog traps were placed in areas of activity. Seven hogs were removed by trapping in 2015 (Table 2).



Northwest Florida Water Management District  
Sand Hill Lakes Mitigation Bank (SHLMB)  
Section 6, Township 1 North, Range 14 West  
Washington Co., Florida




Figure 8. Centipede and Bahia grass eradication on 46 acres of sandhill restoration

Table 2. Hog eradication conducted at the SHLMB during 2015.

<b>Sand Hill Lakes Mitigation Bank</b>				
<b>2015 HOG TRAPPING SUMMARY</b>				
<b>Date</b>	<b>Hog(s)</b>		<b>Trap Type</b> (box, cage, corral)	<b>Comments</b>
	<b>M</b>	<b>F</b>		
7/8/15		1	box	64 lbs.
7/9/15	1		box	74 lbs.
7/31/15		1	corral 1	65 lbs.
7/31/15	1		corral 1	8 lbs.
7/31/15		1	corral 1	8 lbs., outside of corral
8/7/15		1	corral 2	109 lbs.
8/21/15	1		corral 2	123 lbs.

**Monthly Water Gage Readings**

**Specific Condition 12**

Water level gauges were installed and surveyed to the same datum (NAVD 1988) in December 2005 at 10 locations throughout the bank. These locations include Black Pond, Power Line Pond, Pine Log Creek, Deep Edge Pond, Little Deep Edge Pond, Dykes Mill Pond ditch connecting to Pine Log Creek #7, a natural channel from Joiner Lake to the Green Pond, Green Ponds, and Dry Lake. The gauges are read monthly by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission staff, and the results are submitted to the NFWFMD (Table 3, Figure 9). In 2015, the Florida Panhandle had slightly above average rainfall according to NOAA. Water levels in the lakes and ponds were at normal pool. The highest water levels were reported for May, June, and July for several stations in 2015 (Table 3, Figure 9). Portions of the hydric pine flatwoods restoration adjacent to Dry Pond were submerged for 1-2 months due to the lake level exceeding the 10 year flood zone.

Table 3. Monthly water gage readings for 2015

**Carter Tract Water Gauges Readings 2015**

<b>*Readings in Feet*</b>	<b>(1) Black Pond</b>	<b>(2) Power Line Pond</b>	<b>(3) Pine Log Creek</b>	<b>(4) Deep Edge Pond</b>	<b>(5) Little Deep Edge Pond</b>	<b>(6) Dykes Mill Pond</b>	<b>(7) Green Ponds Channel</b>	<b>(8) Joiner Lake Canal</b>	<b>(9) Green Ponds</b>	<b>(10) Dry Pond</b>
<b>Date</b>	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015	1/2/2015
<b>Reading</b>	5.88	5.37	3.94	3.34	3.54	4.54	3.53	4.09	5.65	6.20
<b>Date</b>	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015	2/2/2015
<b>Reading</b>	6.15	5.44	4.02	3.44	3.78	4.08	3.57	3.99	5.96	6.50
<b>Date</b>	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015	3/2/2015
<b>Reading</b>	5.86	5.14	3.26	3.36	3.83	3.97	3.46	3.64	5.64	6.18
<b>Date</b>	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015	4/1/2015
<b>Reading</b>	5.66	4.70	3.14	3.22	3.78	3.84	3.38	3.85	5.46	5.98
<b>Date</b>	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015	5/1/2015
<b>Reading</b>	6.68	5.50	3.44	3.64	3.66	4.12	4.06	4.70	6.48	underwater
<b>Date</b>	6/2/2015	6/2/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
<b>Reading</b>	Underwater	5.88	3.82	3.40	3.66	4.25	4.44	4.80	Underwater	underwater
<b>Date</b>	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015	7/1/2015
<b>Reading</b>	6.48	5.38	3.44	3.35	3.58	4.34	3.84	4.10	6.26	underwater
<b>Date</b>	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015	7/31/2015
<b>Reading</b>	5.56	4.55	2.70	3.16	3.51	4.12	3.34	3.66	5.44	5.95
<b>Date</b>	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015	9/1/2015
<b>Reading</b>	5.06	3.84	2.12	2.66	3.40	3.92	2.75	3.07	4.86	5.37
<b>Date</b>	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015	10/1/2015
<b>Reading</b>	5.14	3.88	2.47	2.47	3.50	4.40	3.46	3.18	4.94	5.45
<b>Date</b>	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015	11/2/2015
<b>Reading</b>	4.86	3.65	2.18	2.02	3.50	4.10	3.07	2.85	4.64	5.16
<b>Date</b>	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15	12-1-15
<b>Reading</b>	5.4	4.26	2.22	2.17	3.54	4.07	3.34	3.85	5.19	5.70






Figure 9. Staff gage locations

## **Sandhill Restoration**

Specific Condition 10, Community restoration  
Oak eradication, Specific Condition 10b

Oak eradication in UMAM polygon I was initiated in August of 2005. Five hundred fifty acres of sandhills have had the oaks thinned to less than 150 trees per acre in accordance with permit conditions. Hardwood eradication also occurred in UMAM Polygon III for a total of 355 acres. Target species have included sparkleberry, high bush blue berry, turkey oak, water oak and diamond oak. Sand pine seedlings were also eradicated in 2012 - 2013 for 258 acres of former sand pine plantation restored to sandhills (UMAM Polygon II).

### Pine Plantation Harvest and Restoration Activities

Specific Condition 10a

Restoration activities for the existing sand pine plantation (~383 acres) (UMAM polygon II) and slash pine plantations (11.5 acres) (UMAM polygon VII) were initiated in June, 2007. The sand pine and slash pine plantations harvest began on June 15 and completed in November 16, 2007.

### Long Leaf Pine Planting

Specific Condition 10c

Six hundred forty six acres of sandhill and sandhill restoration were planted with longleaf pine at a rate of 436 trees per acre in accordance with Specific Condition 10 (UMAM polygons I, II and III). Current longleaf pine densities range between 100 – 350 trees per acre.

### Upland Wiregrass Planting

Specific Condition 10c

In areas with less than 25% wiregrass cover, a supplemental wiregrass planting occurred. Two hundred ninety-eight acres of restored sandhills w planted with 1,387,870 wiregrass plugs (UMAM polygon II). Survival of the wiregrass in the uplands has averaged 80%. Seedling wiregrass has been commonly observed.

## **Hydric Pine Flatwoods Restoration**

Specific Condition 10c

According to the permit requirements, 147 acres of wet flatwood restoration was scheduled to occur at the SHLMB, UMAM Polygon V. However, District staff identified and additional 18 acres that were historic wet flatwoods and added this acreage to UMAM Polygon V for a total acreage of 165 acres of wet flatwoods restoration (Figure 10). Standing biomass of shrubs (primarily titi, gallberry and fetterbush) was reduced to ground level with the use of a Gyro-Trac followed by winter burns. The Gyro-Track work was initiated on March 13, 2007 and was completed by August 20, 2008. The Gyro-Trac areas were burned following the shrub reduction. Annual herbicide treatments for three years reduced shrub cover to less than 2%. These areas have maintained less than 2% shrub cover with frequent burns. Burns are conducted on two year intervals. The hydric pine flatwood community continues to develop and increase in cover and diversity.





Figure 10. Hydric pine flatwoods restoration areas (165 acres)

**Annual Monitoring**

Specific Conditions 26 and 28

Sampling locations were located on a map and field verified (Figure 13). Fall monitoring methods and data analysis are described below. Pedestrian surveys and photographic documentation including oblique aeriels are located at: <http://www.nwfwmwetlands.com/index.php>.

Annual monitoring for this report was conducted on 9/23, 9/24, 9/30, 10/2, 10/6, 10/7, and 11/20, 2015 in accordance with Specific Condition 28. Pedestrian surveys were conducted for both wetland and uplands. The pedestrian surveys were particularly useful in providing detailed species lists and in identifying community diversity. Species diversity was good to excellent throughout the SHLMB and plants appeared vigorous and healthy. The number of species observed continues to increase within the restoration communities as habitats improve. The 2014-2015 Annual report by the Florida Fish and Conservation Commission was completed in December and can be found on the District website (see above) in accordance with Specific Condition 25f.

## **Quantitative Monitoring**

### **Materials and Methods**

---

Quantitative monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the methods described in Attachment H – Monitoring Plan. Quantitative vegetation monitoring occurred at the end of the growing season. This is the tenth annual monitoring report for the SHLMB.

The percent vegetation cover was monitored at transect locations shown in Figure 11. One-meter square quadrats were established along 600-foot transects at 20-foot intervals. Vegetation species coverage statistics were recorded. The percent coverage for each species (and bare ground or open water) was generated by adding all quadrat observations together and dividing the total coverage by the cover of each species within each transect. This represents a modified Daubenmire cover scale where vegetation species statistics are used to determine the percent cover by bare ground, water, individual species and groups. These include wetland species, invasive exotic, and nuisance species present.

Tree density was monitored using the “line strip” (belt transect) technique. Transects were co-located with each vegetation transect. The belt transects will be 600± feet in length and 30± feet in width. Within each belt transect the height and condition of each planted tree was recorded.

### **Photographic Stations**

Panoramic photographs were taken from the permanently established stations at each transect and are found on the District website: <http://www.nwfwmdwetlands.com/index.php> (Figure 11).

### **Wildlife Utilization**

During the vegetation monitoring described above, wildlife observations are recorded in each community. These observations will consist of direct sightings, scat, tracks, or vocalizations.






Figure 11. Monitoring locations

## **Results and Discussion**

### **UMAM Polygon II, Management Unit 11- Sand Pine Plantation (Transect #1, #2 and #4)**

UMAM Polygon II, Management Unit 11, consists of 383 acres of planted sand pine plantation that have been restored to sandhills. Baseline conditions indicated a sand pine canopy with 100% canopy closure and an average of 880 sand pine trees per acre in the sand pine plantations. Removal of the sand pine was completed in November 2007 followed by planting of longleaf pine at 436 trees per acre. Baseline herbaceous monitoring identified 10 species within Transect 1, 16 in Transect 2, and 20 in Transect 4.

During the 2014 monitoring, 20 species were observed in Transect 1, 23 in Transect 2, and 27 in Transect 4. Wiregrass had the greatest cover of any species for transects 2 (22.67%) and 4 (18.67%), while saw tooth blackberry had the great vegetative cover (22.50%) in Transect 1.

During the 2015 monitoring, 25 species were observed in Transect 1, 23 in Transect 2, and 25 in Transect 4. (Tables 4-6; Figures 12-14). Wiregrass had the greatest vegetative cover for each transect ranging from 24.5% in transect 1 to 28% in Transect 2 and 24.5% in transect 4. Bare ground cover ranged from 17% in Transect 1 to 55.7% in Transect 4. The area surrounding transect 4 had been treated over the summer with herbicide to reduce centipede grass cover. The increase in bare ground is attributed to the herbicide treatment. The cover of centipede grass exceeds permit conditions within Transect 1 and will be treated in the summer of 2016.

#### **Interim Success Criteria:**

The sand pine plantations were harvested in 2007. Site preparation burns were conducted during the winter of 2008 and planted with longleaf pine in the winter of 2008-2009. Wiregrass plugs were planted on 3-foot centers in the former sand pine plantations in 2008 and completed in 2009. The area is burned on a three year rotation. Wiregrass cover continues to increase and is the dominant species. Sandhill species diversity and cover continues to increase. Planted longleaf pine densities remain below 200 trees per acre. Trees are healthy and vigorous. Bahia and centipede grass cover continues to be monitored and treated as needed.

Table 4. Transect 1 Species cover and occurrence (Sandhill Restoration)  
 10/07/16, 11:35 AM Data Collector: David Clayton Wildlife observed: none

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	26.3
	Bare ground	17
<i>Rubus argutus</i>	Sawtooth blackberry	15.5
<i>Eremochloa ophiuriodes</i>	Centipede grass	12.5
<i>Chrysopsis lanuginosa</i>	Lynn Haven golden aster	8.9
<i>Andropogon virginicus</i>	Broom grass	5.7
<i>Haplopappus divericatus</i>	Scratch daisy	3.2
<i>Callicarpa americana</i>	Beauty berry	2
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankee weed	1.7
<i>Pinus elliotii</i>	Slash pine	1.2
<i>Cyperus retrorsus</i>	Cyperus	1
<i>Dichantherium aciculare</i>	Narrow witch grass	0.8
<i>Quercus laevis</i>	Turkey oak	0.8
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	Horseweed	0.7
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Live oak	0.3
<i>Diodia teres</i>	Poor Joe	0.3
<i>Pseudognaphalium obtusifolium</i>	Rabbit tobacco	0.3
<i>Opuntia humifusa</i>	Prickley pear cactus	0.2
<i>Hieracium gronovii</i>	Queen-devil	0.2
<i>Pityopsis graminifolia</i>	Shinners	0.2
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i>	Southern crabgrass	0.2
<i>Houstonia pusilla</i>	Tiny bluet	0.2
<i>Polygonella gracilis</i>	Wireweed	0.2
<i>Artemisia campestris</i>	Wormwood	0.2
<i>Illex vomitoria</i>	Yaupon	0.2
<i>Eragrostis elliotii</i>	Love grass	0.2




Figure 12. Transect 1: Sand pine plantation restored to sandhill



Table 5. Transect 2. Species cover and occurrence (Sandhill Restoration)  
 11/7/15, 2:00 PM Data Collector: David Clayton, Wildlife observed: None

<b>Scientific Name</b>	<b>Common Name</b>	<b>Cover</b>
	Bareground	28
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	28
<i>Chrysopsis lanuginosa</i>	Lynn Haven Goldenaster	13.1
<i>Agalinis divaricata</i>	Pineland false foxgloves	4.3
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	Little bluestem	4.3
<i>Dichantheium aciculare</i>	Narrow witch grass	3.8
<i>Chrysoma pauciflosculosa</i>	Woody goldenrod	3.6
<i>Bulbostylis ciliatifolia</i>	Capillary hair sedge	2.5
<i>Andropogon floridanus</i>	Florida broomgrass	1.8
<i>Andropogon ternarius</i>	Split beard bluestem	1.8
<i>Hypericum gentianoides</i>	Orangeweed	1.5
<i>Licania michauxii</i>	Gopher apple	0.8
<i>Quercus hemisphaerica</i>	Diamond oak	0.8
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Persimmon	0.7
<i>Eragrostis elliotii</i>	Elliot's love grass	0.7
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankee weed	0.7
<i>Quercus laevis</i>	Turkeyoak	0.7
<i>Quercus incana</i>	Bluejack Oak	0.5
<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>	Highbush blueberry	0.5
<i>Gaylussacia dumosa</i>	Dwarf huckleberry	0.3
<i>Opuntia humifusa</i>	Prickly pear cactus	0.3
<i>Smilax sp.</i>	Smilax	0.3
<i>Croptilon divaricatum</i>	Scratch daisy	0.2
<i>Dalea pinnata</i>	Summer fairwell	0.2
<i>Euphorbia floridana</i>	Florida pineland spurge	0.2
<i>Solidago fistulosa</i>	Pinebarrens goldenrod	0.2
<i>Balduina angustifolia</i>	Coastalplain honeycomb head	0.2




Figure 13. Transect 2: Sand pine plantation restored to sandhill




Figure 14. Transect 4: Sand pine plantation restored to sandhill

Table 6. Transect 4. Species cover and occurrence (Sandhill Restoration)

11/20/15, 10:00 AM Data Collector: David Clayton, Wildlife observed: titmouse, chipping sparrow

Scientific Name	Species	Percent Cover
	Bareground	55.7
<i>Aristida stricta</i> var. <i>beyrichiana</i>	Wiregrass	24.5
<i>Chrysopsis lanuginosa</i>	Lynn Haven golden aster	5.7
<i>Chrysoma pauciflosculosa</i>	Woody goldenrod	2.5
<i>Rubus argutus</i>	Saw tooth blackberry	1.8
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankeeweed	1.5
<i>Quercus virginica</i>	Live oak	1.4
<i>Andropogon virginicus</i>	Broom grass	1
<i>Dichantheium aciculare</i>	Narrow leaf witchgrass	1



<b>Scientific Name</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>Percent Cover</b>
<i>Eremochloa ophiuriodes</i>	Centipede grass	0.7
<i>Opuntia humifusa</i>	Prickly pear cactus	0.5
<i>Pinus clausa</i>	Sand pine	0.5
<i>Quercus hemesphaerica</i>	Diamond Oak	0.3
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	Little bluestem	0.3
<i>Galactia volubilis</i>	Milk pea	0.3
<i>Hypericum gentianoides</i>	Orangeweed	0.3
<i>Polygonella gracilis</i>	Wireweed	0.3
<i>Ilex vomitoria</i>	Yaupon	0.3
<i>Cyperus sp.</i>	Cyperus	0.2
<i>Penstemon multiflorus</i>	Multiflowered beards tongue	0.2
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Persimon	0.2
<i>Solidago fistulosa</i>	Pine barren goldenrod	0.2
<i>Pityopsis graminifolia</i>	Shinners	0.2
<i>Pinus elliotii</i>	Slash pine	0.2
<i>Smilax bona-nox</i>	Smilax	0.2
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	Winged sumac	0.2

### **Planted Longleaf Pine Seedlings**

Longleaf pine seedlings were planted in the sandhills at a rate of 436 trees per acre. Permit conditions require a maximum average of 200 longleaf pine trees per acre. Planted tree densities are determined by counting all the seedlings in a 30' X 600' plot co-located with each transect and converting to trees per acre. All tree seedlings counted and measured by size class and notes on tree condition are included. The survival of longleaf pine seedlings along each transect was observed to be 98-193 trees per acre. Overall health of the planted seedlings was excellent. Most trees are 9-12 feet' or 12-15 feet in height and the average diameter and breast height (DBH) is 4.5 inches (Figures 15-17).




Figure 15. Planted longleaf pine seedlings (Transect 1)




Figure 16. Planted longleaf pine seedlings (Transect 2)




Figure 17. Planted longleaf pine seedlings (Transect 4)

#### **UMAM Polygon I, Management Unit 12- Sandhill Restoration**

UMAM Polygon I, Management Unit 12, consists of 263.52 acres. At the time of purchase, the sandhill overstory was dominated by turkey and live oaks with scattered remnant longleaf pine and an understory dominated by woody goldenrod, wiregrass, and a variety of herbaceous species. Reclamation activities included reintroduction of fire, thinning of oaks to less than 150 trees per acre, and reestablishment of longleaf pine. Fire was reintroduced during the winter of 2004. Currently, longleaf pines dominate the overstory with scattered turkey, live oak, and bluejack oak. The understory is dominated by wiregrass. Baseline sampling indicated 23 species within Transect 3 and 31 species in Transect 5.

During the 2014 monitoring, 20 species were observed within Transect 3, a decrease of six species from 2013. Twenty species were observed within Transect 5, ten less than in 2013. Wiregrass cover was the greatest cover class observed for both transects with 25.83% cover observed within Transect 3, and 40.50% cover observed in Transect 5. This area was burned late in the season, which may be the reason for the reduction in species.

During the 2015 monitoring, 29 species were observed within Transect 3, an increase of nine species from 2014. Forty-one 41 species were observed within Transect 5, an increase of 21 species from 2014 (Tables 7 and 8; Figures 18 and 19). Wiregrass cover was the greatest cover class observed for both transects with 37% cover observed within Transect 3, and 47% cover observed in Transect 5. Bareground cover was appropriate for sandhill communities, with 25% cover within Transect 3 and 20% within Transect 5. Cover of woody species is low and the diversity of forbs is good and continues to improve.

#### **Interim Success Criteria:**

The interim success criteria have been met for UMAM I polygon I. Fire was re-introduced to the site, turkey and live oaks were thinned to less than 150 trees per acre and longleaf pine have been planted. No nuisance or exotic species were observed, fire adapted species dominate the vegetative cover, while wood species cover is low. Long leaf pine seedlings averaged of 80 trees per acre in Transect 3 and 33 trees per acre in Transect 5. The area around Transect 5 has been planted with longleaf pine twice and survival continues to be low. Wiregrass and sandhill vegetation continues to thrive and appears healthy.



Table 7. Transect 3: Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)  
 10/07/15, 10:00 Am Data Collector: David Clayton, Wildlife observed: None

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	37
	Bareground	25
<i>Chrysoma pauciflosculosa</i>	woody goldenrod	10.5
<i>Chrysopsis lanuginosa</i>	Lynn Haven goldenaster	4.8
<i>Liatris chapmanii</i>	Chapman's gayfeather	3
<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i>	Tailed bracken fern	2.2
<i>Balduina angustifolia</i>	Coastalplain honeycomb head	2
<i>Andropogon floridanus</i>	Florida broom grass	1.7
<i>Agalinis divaricata</i>	Pineland false foxgloves	1.7
<i>Paronychia rugelii</i>	Sandsquares	1.5
<i>Polygonella gracilis</i>	Wireweed	1.5
<i>Solidago fistulosa</i>	Pine barrens goldenrod	1.3
<i>Hypericum gentianoides</i>	Orangeweed	1
<i>Bulbostylis ciliatifolia</i>	Bulbostylis	0.8
<i>Quercus hemaespharica</i>	Diamond oak	0.8
<i>Liatris pauciflora</i>	Fewflower gayfeather	0.7
<i>Serenoa repens</i>	Saw palmetto	0.7
<i>Licania michauxii</i>	Gopher apple	0.5
<i>Sporobolus junceus</i>	Pineywoods dropseed	0.5
<i>Dichanthelium aciculare</i>	Needleleaf witchgrass	0.3
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Persimon	0.3
<i>Seymeria pectinata</i>	Piedmont black senna	0.3
<i>Pityopsis graminifolia</i>	Shinners	0.3
<i>Quercus laevis</i>	Turkeyoak	0.3
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankeeweed	0.3
<i>Cyperus sp.</i>	Cyperus	0.2
<i>Vaccinium darrowii</i>	Darrow's blueberry	0.2
<i>Euphorbia floridana</i>	Greater Florida Spurge	0.2
<i>Penstemon multiflorus</i>	Manyflowered beardtongue	0.2
<i>Pinus elliottii</i>	Slash pine	0.2

## Percent Cover




Figure 18. Transect 3: Species cover and occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)

Table 8. Transect 5: Species Cover and Occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)  
 11/20/15, 11:20 AM, Data Collector: David Clayton, Wildlife observed: Red Bellied Woodpecker,

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	47
	Bare ground	20
<i>Polygonella gracilis</i>	Wireweed	4.3
<i>Liatris chapmanii</i>	Chapman's blazing star	2.8
<i>Bauldiuina angustifolia</i>	Coastalplain honeycomb head	2.8
<i>Andropogon floridananus</i>	Florida broomgrass	2.8
<i>Agalinis divaricata</i>	Pineland false foxgloves	2
<i>Chrysoma pauciflosculosa</i>	Woody goldenrod	2
<i>Chrysopsis lanuginosa</i>	Lynn Haven golden aster	1.5
<i>Quercus margarettae</i>	Post oak	1.4
<i>Quercus laevis</i>	Turkey oak	1.2
<i>Trichostema setaceum</i>	Blue Curls	1
<i>Crataegus michauxii</i>	Michaux's hawthorn	1
<i>Smilax bonna-nox</i>	Smilax	1
<i>Vaccinium myrsinites</i>	Shiny blueberry	0.83
<i>Solidago fistulosa</i>	Pine barren goldenrod	0.7
<i>Opuntia humifusa</i>	Pricklypear cactus	0.7
<i>Yucca filamentosa</i>	Adam's needle	0.5
<i>Hypericum gentianoides</i>	Orangeweed	0.5
<i>Penstemon multiflorus</i>	Splitbeard broomgrass	0.5
<i>Symphotrichum concolor</i>	Eastern silver aster	0.33
<i>Licania michauxii</i>	Gopherapple	0.33
<i>Baptisia lanceolata</i>	Gopherweed	0.33
<i>Hieracium gronovii</i>	Hawkweed	0.33
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Persimmon	0.33
<i>Rhynchosia cytisoides</i>	Royal snoutbean	0.33
<i>Pityopsis graminifolia</i>	Shinners	0.33
<i>Dichantheium aciculare</i>	Narrow leaved witchgrass	0.32
<i>Cyperus sp.</i>	Cyperus	0.3
<i>Gaylussacia dumosa</i>	Dwarf huckleberry	0.3
<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i>	Tailed brachen fern	0.3
<i>Quercus incana</i>	Bluejack oak	0.2
<i>Eriogonum tomentosum</i>	Buckwheat	0.2
<i>Liatris pauciflora</i>	Few flowered gay feather	0.2
<i>Euphorbia floridana</i>	Greater Florida spurge	0.2
<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	Liveoak	0.2
<i>Lechea sessiliflora</i>	Pineland pinweed	0.2



Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Panicum virgatum</i>	Switchgrass	0.2
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankeeweed	0.2
<i>Penstemon multiflorus</i>	Multiflowered beards tongue	0.17
<i>Chamaecrista fasciculata</i>	Partridge pea	0.17




Figure 19. Transect 5: Species cover and occurrence (Sandhill Enhancement)

### Planted Longleaf Pine Seedlings

Longleaf pine seedlings were planted in the sandhills at a rate of 436 trees per acre.

In 2015, the survival of longleaf pine seedlings was 80 per acre in Transect 3 and 33 in Transect 5. Tree survival is poor for these transects despite additional tree planting in 2012. Remaining trees appear healthy (Figure 20 and 21).




Figure 20. Planted longleaf pine seedlings (Transect 3)




Figure 21. Planted longleaf pine seedlings (Transect 5)

### **UMAM Polygon(s): VII, Management Unit 3- Planted Slash Pine Plantation**

UMAM Polygon VII, Management Unit 3, consists of 11.5 acres of bedded planted slash pine restored to a hydric pine flatwood. The overstory was dominated by planted slash pine at 880 trees per acre. The shrub layer was well developed and the understory largely absent due to the coverage of the trees and shrubs. Pines were thinned to 400 trees per acre in 2007. Trees were harvested again in 2012 to 200 trees per acre. In winter 2012, wiregrass and toothache grass plugs were planted on three-foot centers. This area is burned on a two year rotation. Baseline monitoring indicated a total of 17 species. Nine of the species were shrubs.

During the 2014 monitoring, 29 species were observed, an increase of eight species common to wet flatwoods. Bare ground was 20.83%. Hydric pine flatwood species dominate the site with over 76.68% cover.

During the 2015 monitoring, 30 species were observed. Bare ground cover has been reduced significantly in the last year. In 2014, bare ground was 20.83% while in 2015 was reduced to 7.5% cover meeting release requirements of at least 80% vegetative cover. Hydric pine flatwood species dominate the site with over 85.7% cover (Table 9; Figure 22).

#### **Interim Success Criteria:**

The management activities used to restore UMAM VII, Management Unit 3 have been completed. A diverse wet flatwoods understory continues to develop and has met the interim release criteria.



Table 9. Transect 8. Species Cover and Occurrence (Hydric Pine Restoration)  
 09/11/13, 2:00 PM, Wildlife observed: Cricket frog Data Collector: David Clayton

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Paspalum leave</i>	Thin paspalum	23.7
<i>Xyris caroliniana</i>	Carolina yellow-eyed grass	11.6
	Bare ground	7.5
<i>Rhynchospora sp.</i>	Rhynchospora	7.3
<i>Panicum verrucosum</i>	Warty panic grass	6.7
<i>Centella asiatica</i>	Centella	6.6
<i>Andropogon glomeratus</i>	Bushy bluestem	5.2
<i>Mecardonia acuminata</i>	Axil flower	4.5
<i>Chamaecrista fasciculata</i>	Partridge pea	4
<i>Panicum rigidulum</i>	Redtop panic grass	3.8
<i>Axonopus furcatus</i>	Big carpetgrass	3.2
<i>Pluchea foetida</i>	Stinking camphorweed	1.8
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	1.7
<i>Euthamia caroliniana</i>	Slender flattop goldenrod	1.3
<i>Richardia humistrata</i>	Mexican clover	1.3
<i>Rhynchospora microcarpa</i>	Southern beakrush	1.3
<i>Hypericum cistifolium</i>	St. John's wort	1.3
<i>Ludwigia pilosa</i>	Hairy primrose	1
<i>Lycopus rubellus</i>	Water horehound	1
<i>Hypericum crux-andreae</i>	St. Peter's wort	0.8
<i>Rhexia virginica</i>	Handsome Harry	0.7
<i>Lachnanthes caroliniana</i>	Redroot	0.7
<i>Carex glaucescens</i>	Cluster sedge	0.5
<i>Gelsemium sempervirens</i>	Yellow jessamine	0.5
<i>Ctenium aromaticum</i>	Toothache grass	0.5
<i>Cyperus sp.</i>	Cyperus	0.3
<i>Rubus trivialis</i>	Dewberry	0.3
<i>Rhexia mariana</i>	Pale meadow beauty	0.3
<i>Liatris spicata</i>	Dense gayfeather	0.2
<i>Solidago fistulosa</i>	Pinebarren goldenrod	0.2
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankeeweed	0.2




Figure 22. Transect 8: Species cover and occurrence

**UMAM Polygon V, Management Unit 2, Hydric Pine Flatwoods**

UMAM Polygon V, Management Unit 2 consists of 165 acres of fire suppressed shrub dominated hydric pine flatwoods that have been restored to a hydric pine flatwood. The overstory was dominated by a near impenetrable shrub layer and absent herbaceous layer. Reclamation activities within this polygon included removal of shrub overstory utilizing a Gyro-trac followed treatment with selective herbicides, re-introduction of fire, and planting wiregrass tubelings on 3' centers. Two transects, 6 and 7 were established within the hydric pine flatwoods restoration. Baseline monitoring in 2006 indicated 14 species in Transect 6 and 16 species in Transect 7.

During the 2014 monitoring, 21 species were observed in transects 6 and 14 for Transect 7. Significant portions of each transect were submerged for three months in both 2013 and 2014. Sphagnum moss was common along Transect 7 and had patchy distribution along Transect 6. Hydric pine flatwood species dominated both transects. Shrub cover was less than two percent for each transect.

During the 2015 monitoring, 22 species were observed in transects 6 and 14 for Transect 7 (Table 10 and 11; Figure 23 and 24). Significant portions of each transect were submerged for three months in 2014. Hydric pine flatwood species dominated both transects. Shrub cover was less than two percent for each transect. Vegetative cover exceeds 80% for both transects. Transect 6 is dominated by grasses and sedges while Transect 7 is dominated by redroot cover.

**Interim Success Criteria:**

The restoration activities for UMAM V, Management Unit 2 were completed by 2007. Fire was introduced in 2005. A Gyro-Trac shrub reduction was initiated in April 2007 and completed in August 2008. Baseline data from the 2006 monitoring event showed 100 percent cover of shrubs for both sites. Herbicide treatments conducted for three years along with burns every two years have successfully reduced the shrub cover to less than 2%. The hydric pine flatwoods was planted with 1.18 million wiregrass plants, 182,700 cut over muhly grass, 122,600 toothache grass, and 72,600 mixed hydric pine flatwoods wildflowers. Planting were conducted in accordance Specific Condition 10 and Appendix D. Despite extensive wiregrass planting, cover remains low and survival reduced by prolonged flooding within the hydric pine flatwoods. A total of 91 species were observed within the hydric pine flatwood restoration within one of the pedestrian transects in 2015, a significant increase from the 2 herbaceous species recorded during the baseline documentation.

Table 10. Transect 6. Species Cover and Occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwoods)

10/02/15, 10:30 AM, Wildlife observed: Deer, Red bellied woodpecker Data Collector: David Clayton

<b>Scientific Name</b>	<b>Common Name</b>	<b>Percent Cover</b>
<i>Andropogon glomeratus</i>	Bushy bluestem	28.5
	Bareground	16
<i>Panicum verrucosum</i>	Warty panicum	15.3
<i>Andropogon virginicus</i> L. var. <i>glaucus</i>	Chalky bluestem	11
<i>Rhynchospora</i> spp.	Rhynchosopora	10.4
<i>Lachnanthes caroliniana</i>	Redroot	6
<i>Woodwardia virginica</i>	Virginia chain fern	3.7
<i>Euthamia caroliniana</i>	Flattop goldenrod	2.3
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	1.2
<i>Rhynchospora inundata</i>	Horned Beaksedge	0.83
<i>Cliftonia monophylla</i>	Black titi	0.7
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i>	Yankeeweed	0.7
<i>Centella asiatica</i>	Coinwort	0.5
<i>Rhexia virginica</i>	Handsome Harry	0.5
<i>Panicum rigidulum</i>	Redtop panicum	0.5
<i>Panicum virgatum</i>	Switchgrass	0.33

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Andropogon virginicus</i>	Broom grass	0.2
<i>Eupatorium letophyllum</i>	Falsefennel	0.2
<i>Lyonia lucida</i>	Fetterbush	0.2
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>	Purple lovegrass	0.2
<i>Eupatorium rotundifolium</i>	Roundleaf thoroughwort	0.2
<i>Xyris sp.</i>	Yellow-eyed grass	0.2
<i>Pinus elliotii</i>	Slash pine	0.17
<i>Ilex coriacea</i>	Tall gallberry	0.17




Figure 23. Transect 6. Species cover and occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwoods Restoration)



Table 11. Transect 7. Species and Occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwoods Restoration)  
 10/06/15, 3:21 PM, Wildlife observed: None Data Collector: David Clayton

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Lachnanthes caroliniana</i>	Redroot	62.3
<i>Andropogon glomeratus</i>	Bushy bluestem	16.5
<i>Woodwardia virginica</i>	Virginia chain fern	3.9
	Bare ground	3.7
<i>Aristida stricta</i>	Wiregrass	2.7
<i>Euthamia caroliniana</i>	Flattop goldenrod	2.5
<i>Rynchospora</i> sp.	Rhynchospora	2.3
<i>Rhexia virginica</i>	Handsome Harry	1.7
<i>Diodia teres</i>	Poor Joe	1.7
<i>Cliftonia monophylla</i>	Black titi	1.3
<i>Ilex myrtifolia</i>	Myrtleleaved holly	0.4
<i>Panicum verrucosum</i>	Warty panicgrass	0.4
<i>Eupatorium leptophyllum</i>	False fennel	0.2
<i>Smilax laurifolia</i>	Smilax	0.2
<i>Ctenium aromaticum</i>	Toothache grass	0.2




Figure 24. Transect 7. Species cover and occurrence (Hydric Pine Flatwoods)

## UMAM Polygon V1, Management Unit 5, Inland Ponds and Sloughs

UMAM Polygon V1, Management Unit 5 consists of 24,880 acres of a dammed slough (Dykes Mill Pond) restored to slough/marsh. Reclamation activities within this polygon include the removal of Dykes Mill Pond dam, spanning the gap with a railcar bridge, and planting of cypress and black gum saplings. Dykes Mill Pond was removed in August of 2006 and bridge construction completed in April 2007. Planting of cypress and black gum trees occurred in fall of 2007. Since the removal of the dam, water levels have been reduced by two feet in depth (Figure 23). The majority of the area is dominated by water lilies and other aquatic submerged vegetation.

In 2014, a total of 10 species were observed along Transect 9. Water lilies and lavender bladderwort represented 49.67% of the vegetative cover. Fragrant water lily represented the dominant cover class with 27%. Cover continued to increase for yellow eyed grass and Gulf coast spike rush while agal bulrush cover continues to decline.

In 2015, a total of 10 species were observed along Transect 9 (Table 12, Figure 25). The aquatic vegetation is thriving providing important habitat for wildlife. Fragrant water lily represented the dominant cover class, nearly doubling over the last year to 50% followed by lavender bladderwort with 17.7% cover, slightly lower than the previous year. Open water has steadily decreased from 38% from baseline sampling to 17% in 2015.

### Interim Success Criteria:

The hydrologic restoration associated with Dykes Mill Pond (UMAM VI) has been completed. The dam was removed and new bridge was completed in April of 2007. Water levels are 1.5-2 feet lower than baseline conditions. Cypress trees and black gums were planted along the edges of this system in the winter of 2007/2008. Open water has decreased overtime and aquatic vegetation cover has increased.

Table 12. Transect 9. Species and Occurrence (Dykes Mill Pond Enhancement)

12/06/15, 1:32 PM, Wildlife observed: cricket frog, gambusia, great egret Data Collector: David Clayton

Scientific Name	Common Name	Percent Cover
<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>	White waterlily	50
<i>Utricularia purpurea</i>	Lavender bladderwort	17.7
	Open water	17
<i>Eleocharis cellulosa</i>	Gulfcoast spike rush	4.7
<i>Websteria confervoides</i>	Agal bullrush	4
<i>Hypericum fasciculatum</i>	Peel bark St. John's wort	2.5
<i>Lycopodiella alopecuroides</i>	Foxtail clubmoss	2
<i>Sphagnum sp.</i>	Sphagnum	0.7
<i>Eleocharis vivipara</i>	Viviparous spikerush	0.7
<i>Xyris spp.</i>	Yellow-eyed grass	0.5
<i>Taxodium ascendens</i>	Pond cypress	0.2




Figure 25. Species cover and occurrence for Transect 9

## Qualitative Monitoring

### Materials and Methods

Qualitative vegetation monitoring include assessment of the vegetation, both ground cover and planted trees, wildlife use observations, and general habitat health. Pedestrian surveys increase site coverage and include a 30+ minute meandering walk-path. The pedestrian walk-path continued as long as species were being added; however, once additional species were not recorded for 3 minutes the survey was complete. Representative photos and a community description were provided for each walk-path. Plants were listed in the data sheet in the following categories (tree, shrub, vine or herbaceous) to give a better understanding of community composition. Wildlife observations were recorded for each walk-path. Figure 13 provides the location and coverage of transects and the data sheets and site photos can be found on the NFWMD website at <http://www.nfwmdwetlands.com/index.php>

### Results and Discussion

A total of 13 pedestrian transects were located at the SHLMB (Figure 13). Three pedestrian surveys were located in Management Unit 1, portions of UMAM Polygon IV, one in Management Unit 2, UMAM Polygon V, one in Management Unit 4, portions of UMAM Polygon IV, four in Management Unit 10, Polygon III, three in Management Unit 12, UMAM Polygon I, and one in Management Unit 14, portions of UMAM Polygon IV.

### **Management Unit 1, UMAM Polygon IV, Preserved High Quality Forested and Herbaceous Wetlands (M8 and M9)**

Management Unit 1, UMAM Polygon IV consists of 574.839 acres of a wide variety of preserved wetland habitats including FLUCCS: 621 – Cypress, 617 – Mixed Wetland Hardwoods, 644 – Emergent Aquatic Wetlands, 611 – Bay Swamps, 641 – Freshwater Marshes, 616 – Inland Ponds and Sloughs, 640 – and Vegetated Non-Forested Wetlands. The management goal for this polygon is preservation of the existing high quality wetlands. Two of the pedestrian survey paths (M8 and M9) in Management Unit I, UMAM Polygon IV, were located in cypress dominated wetlands. During the baseline monitoring, 38 species were observed in M8, while 32 species were observed in M9. Twenty-one herbaceous species were observed in M8, while 19 herbaceous species were observed in M9. Wildlife was abundant.

In 2014, a total of 41 species were observed along M8, and 43 species along M9. This is a slight increase from last year for both transects and similar in species composition from last year. Water levels have remained at or above normal pool for most of the year.

In 2015, a total of 47 species were observed along M8, and 50 species along M9. This is an increase from last year for both transects. The increase in species observed is probably due a return of ponds to normal pool from drought conditions. Water levels remained at or above normal pool for most of the year. Plants are vigorous and thriving and diversity is good. No nuisance or exotic species were observed.

#### **Interim Success Criteria:**

Interim success criteria have been met for this area. These include exotic vegetation cover < 1% per acre, nuisance vegetation cover < 5% per acre, and maintaining or improving in ecological function. Water levels are at or above normal pool.

### **Management Unit 2, UMAM Polygon V, Hydric Pine Flatwoods**

Management Unit 2, UMAM Polygon V consists of 146.678 acres of FLUCCS 635 hydric pine flatwoods. The management goal for this polygon includes the enhancement and restoration of the degraded hydric pine flatwoods. Two pedestrian transects (M10 and M11) were located in Management Unit 2, UMAM Polygon V. Both of these degraded hydric pine flatwoods were dominated by shrub species during baseline monitoring.

In 2014, a total of 95 species were observed along the meandering transect of M 10, an increase of two species from last year. These species were typical of a hydric pine flatwoods. This area is looking similar to natural hydric flatwoods. The planted wildflowers are well established and have added to the site diversity. A total of 34 species were observed along M11, a decrease of 14 species. This area is adjacent to Dry Pond and the majority of the site was submerged during 2014. Shrubs have minimal cover in both areas. Hog damage has been observed scattered within the hydric pine flatwoods restoration. Trapping and hunting removed 14 hogs in 2014. Current hog population is estimated at 20 individuals through game camera surveillance. Efforts will continue in 2014.

In 2015, a total of 91 species were observed along the meandering transect of M 10, decrease of four species from last year. This area continues to develop and has good diversity. A total of 41 species were observed along M11, an increase of seven species. Minimal shrub cover was observed and the sites continue to develop. Hog damage was observed within the hydric pine flatwoods restoration. Trapping removed seven hogs in 2015.



Interim Success Criteria:

Interim success criteria have been met for this area. No exotic species were observed and shrub cover has been reduced to less than 2%. Herbaceous groundcover and diversity continues to increase and prescribed burns have been conducted in accordance with fire management plan.

**Management Unit 10, UMAM Polygon III, Xeric and Live Oak**

Management Unit 10, UMAM Polygon III consists of 493.852 of FLUCCS 421 – Xeric Oak and 427 – Live Oak. Management goals include preservation, reintroduction of fire, removal of oaks and hardwoods, planting of longleaf pine, and exotic species control. Four transects were located within Polygon 10, M1, M2, M12 and M13). During baseline monitoring, 44 species were observed along M1, 29 species within M2, 26 species within M12, and 54 species occurred within M13. In Transect M1, a Florida threatened species, Gulf coast lupine (*Lupinus westianus*), was located throughout the sandhill. Gopher tortoise burrows were observed along pedestrian transects M12 and M13.

In 2014, a total of 93 species were found along Transect M1, 73 species were found along M2, 64 species were observed within M12, and 73 species were observed along M13. This site was burned in late August, and perhaps some annual species were removed during the burn. Species observed were typical of a diverse sandhill.

In 2015, a total of 85 species were found along Transect M1, a decrease of eight species. Most of the species not observed were shallow rooted emergent whose habitat is currently flooded with 6-8-inches of water. This area is a combination of several habitats as it grades towards the pond. The pond has been above normal pool for the last two years and slash pines that ringed the pond have died. A total of 64 species were found along M2, a decrease of nine species from 2014. A late season fire may have reduced species diversity in this area. Fifty-six species were observed within M12, including the addition of three species. This area also was burned late in the fire season and annual species may have been removed during the burn. A total of 70 species were observed along M13, a reduction of three species. This area is scheduled for a burn in 2106 to help reduce woody vegetation and stimulate the wiregrass.

Interim Success Criteria:

Interim success criteria have been met for this area. No nuisance native or exotic vegetation have been observed, except for a small patch of Bahia grass at M1's entrance. Diversity is good, and continued burns within these areas will maintain a healthy sandhill community. Wiregrass cover is good to excellent and oaks and other hardwood cover have been reduced to appropriate levels.

**Management Unit 11, UMAM Polygon II, Upland Slash Pine Plantations**

Management Unit 11, UMAM Polygon II consists of 383.484 acres of FLUCCS 411, Longleaf Pine/Wiregrass restored from slash or sand pine plantations.

The restoration goal for this area is to restore the sites to a sandhill community from a slash pine plantation. Management activities included the removal of planted pines, reintroduction of burns, re-planting with longleaf pine, and the addition of wiregrass as needed. Trees were harvested from April to November 2007 followed by winter burns. One transect (M5) is located within Management Unit 11, UMAM Polygon II. Observations from the baseline monitoring in 2006, indicated six trees, seven shrubs, two vines, and 35 herbaceous species. During baseline monitoring, wiregrass was the dominant grass species. However, the shrub layer overtopped the wiregrass and hardwood cover shaded out the understory.

In 2014, a total of 57 species were observed. This may be due the burn in late August which may have removed some annual species during the burn. Wiregrass cover was excellent, as was the cover of native species.

In 2015, a total of 75 species were observed, and increase of 18 species from last year. Species diversity is similar in appearance to undisturbed sandhills within the region.

**Interim Success Criteria:**

The interim success criteria have been met for this area. No nuisance or exotic species were observed. Wiregrass is the dominant species. The ground cover is diverse and typical of a sandhill and continues to improve with frequent burns.

**Management Unit 12, UMAM Polygon 1, Sandhill**

Management Unit 12, UMAM Polygon 1 consists of 263.52 acres of FLUCCS: 411 – Longleaf Pine / Wiregrass (Mesic Pine Flatwoods) restored from 421 – Xeric Oak habitat.

The goal for this polygon was to restore a diverse sandhill. Fire was reintroduced in 2004 and the once dominant woody goldenrod and oak cover has been replaced by wiregrass and diverse sandhill species. Removal of oaks  $\leq$  12 inches DBH occurred in the summer of 2005 and the area was replanted with longleaf pine. The sandhill is diverse and high quality with an excellent herbaceous species composition. Two transects (M3 and M4) were located within this polygon. Baseline documentation in 2006 observed a total of 35 species (7 trees, 2 shrubs, 2 vines, and 24 herbs) within pedestrian Transect M3, while 68 species (8 trees, 9 shrubs, 2 vines and 49 herbs) were observed within M4.

In 2014, a total of 75 species were observed along M3, a decrease of 10 species, and 80 along M4 and increase of one species. These areas were both burned in mid-August 2014 and the reduction in species number is likely attributed to the burn.

In 2015, a total of 75 species were observed along M3, the same as the previous year. Ninety-two species were observed along M4, an increase of 12 species. These two areas are the two most diverse upland areas of the bank and often have over 90 species found within each transect. Floristically, they are typical of high quality sandhills within the region.

**Interim Success Criteria:**

This polygon has met the restoration goals set forth in the interim success criteria. Controlled burns within this polygon have greatly reduced the cover of woody golden rod and oaks. Successive burns have stimulated the wire grass and other grasses and forbs. Oaks have been reduced to less than 150 trees per acre and the herbaceous vegetation is dominated by wiregrass.

**Management Unit 14, portions of UMAM Polygon IV, Lakes**

Management Unit 14, portions of UMAM Polygon IV consists of 164.958 acres of FLUCCS 520, lakes. The goal for this polygon is the preservation of the lake and aquatic habitat. One pedestrian transect (M6) was placed within the polygon around Garret Pond and another M7 at Dykes Mill Pond. A zone of Smooth barked St. John's wort and seedlings was observed just above normal pool adjacent to Garret Pond.

In 2014, water levels remained at normal pool or above. A total of 71 species were observed along M6 and 39 species were observed along M7.

In 2015, water levels remained at normal pool or above. A total of 66 species were observed along M6, a reduction of five species while 42 species were observed along M7, slight increase in species observed. Vegetation appears healthy and vigorous. Some species are absent due to flooding at the lower elevations where they had migrated during the drought and have not yet recovered.

Interim Success Criteria:

No nuisance or exotic species were observed. Wetland vegetation is the dominant within both sites. Species appear healthy and vigorous. Success criteria for this area have been met.

### **Certification**

**I certify that this report represents true accurate and representative description of the activities and site conditions at the time of this report.**

---

**David C. Clayton**  
**Restoration Ecologist**  
**Environmental Scientist**  
**Qualified Mitigation Supervisor**