2013 Monitoring Report

YELLOW RIVER RANCH SITE

Santa Rosa County, Florida

ERC #: 13-196D

August 2013









Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc.

2013 Monitoring Report

YELLOW RIVER RANCH SITE Santa Rosa County, Florida

ERC #: 13-196D

Prepared for: Northwest Florida Water Management District 81 Water Management Drive Havana, FL 32333-4712

Prepared by: Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc. 100 Amar Place Panama City Beach, FL 32413

Contact: Joseph Schuster President and Principal Investigator Tel 850-230-1882

Tallahassee 631 E. 6th Ave. Tallahassee, FL 32303 tel 850-224-0041 fax 850-224-0017 **Panama City Beach** 100 Amar Place Panama City Beach, FL 32413 tel 850-230-1882 fax 850-230-1883

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Annual monitoring of the 275 acre Yellow River Ranch Site located in Santa Rosa County, Florida was conducted in August 2013 to assess the hydrologic, vegetative, and ecological condition of the site. Assessments were conducted at specific transect sites located within discrete mapped delineations of Florida Land Use and Cover Classification (FLUCCS) restoration target habitats. Fifteen sample points in each of two quantitative transects, documented the coverage of each species, open water, and bare ground in a square meter. The quantitative transects were conducted in two locations recently used for Improved Pasture (FLUCCS 211) that are being restored to Hydric Pine Flatwoods (FLUCCS 625). One qualitative transect documented estimated coverage of graminoids and total groundcover in modified Braun/Blanquet Scale classes and general notes regarding the natural history of the site. Biostatistical parameters were calculated and presented in the report in tabular and graphic formats. The qualitative transect was conducted in a location recently used for Improved Pasture (FLUCCS 211) that is being restored to Hydric Pine Flatwoods (FLUCCS 625). Four belt transects were conducted including two transects at two locations recently used for improved pasture (FLUCCS 211) that are being restored to Cypress Swamp (FLUCCS 621) and at two locations of preserved Bottomland (FLUCCS 615). Belt Transects documented the health and condition of planted tree saplings. Quantitative and qualitative transects were documented with a panoramic photograph. All transects and photograph points are depicted on maps that accompany the monitoring report.

The results of the 2013 monitoring represent the current condition in 2013, this can be compared to future monitoring events to assess the progress of restoration efforts. The monitoring report also documents compliance with permit conditions for the Yellow River Ranch Site. Data obtained during the August 2013 monitoring event for the disturbed areas is typical of a site used as pastureland and consequently the groundcover is dominated by ruderal species. The dominant plant lifeforms are herbaceous. Implementation of active restoration activities observed include installation of appropriate native canopy species, supplemental planting of appropriate native groundcover species, and treatments to eliminate and control invasive exotics plants. The progress of specific practice implementation combined with assurances for perpetual maintenance indicates that the restoration potential of the site is very good.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARYii
1.0 INTRODUCTION
1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE
2.0 METHODS
2.1 FIELD METHODS32.1.1 Linear Quantitative Transects52.1.2 Belt Quantitative Transects62.1.3 Qualitative Transects62.1.4 Panoramic Photographs72.1.5 Additional Observations72.1.6 Additional Observations72.2. ANALYTICAL METHODS102.2.1 Statistical Methods for Linear Transects102.2.2 Relative Coverage102.2.3 Relative Density102.2.4 Relative Frequency102.2.5 Importance Value102.2.6 Statistical Methods for Belt Transects102.2.7 Number of Trees/Acre11
3.0 DATA AND OBSERVATIONS 11
3.1 QUANTITATIVE DATA 11 3.2 QUALITATIVE DATA 18 3.3 PHOTOGRAPH POINT DATA 20
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
6.0 REFERENCES

IST OF FIGURES	iv
IST OF APPENDICES	. v

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. General Location Map
- Figure 2. Transect Locations
- Figure 3. Transect Locations and Current FLUCCS
- Figure 4. Transect Locations and Target FLUCCS

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Qualitative Data Sheets
- Appendix B. Panoramic Photographs
- Appendix C. Quantitative Monitoring Plot Photographs

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1. Purpose and Scope

1.1.1 Purpose

The Yellow River Ranch (YRR) Restoration site is located in Santa Rosa County, approximately 1.5 miles east of SR 87 in Section 13, Township 1 North, Range 27 West (Figure 1). The YRR is located on the floodplain of the Yellow River. The 275 acre tract was acquired by the Northwest Florida Water Management District (NWFWMD) in December 2005 specifically for use as mitigation to offset current and future Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) wetland impacts. The goal of the mitigation is to preserve and protect intact bottomland forest and restore disturbed portions of the site to natural conditions. Restoration activities include breaching of dikes and ditch plugging, prescribed fire, herbicide treatment, and planting native species. One hundred and fifty five acres of bottomland forest preservation and restoration of 55 acres are mitigation for a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers permit associated with State Road 87 wetland impacts. Additional mitigation credit is available from the restoration of an additional 65 acres of prior converted wetlands. The purpose of this study is to obtain data that reflect the current vegetative condition. The data is reported to document permit compliance and is used for a reference by which the success of future restoration efforts is assessed.

1.1.2 Scope

The scope of this study is ecological monitoring in specific habitats and preparation of a report that summarizes the results of the data obtained during the monitoring activity. Critical evaluation allows the determination of current landscape scale conditions as reflected in the dominant species, species richness, invasive exotic plants, and plant lifeforms (herbs, vines, shrubs, and strata in the canopy). The monitoring data is used in the selection of appropriate restoration and management strategies, measurement of the success of implemented restoration practices, evaluation of trends in landscape responses to management, selection of future adaptive management strategies, and adherence to and completion of regulatory permit conditions.



2.0 METHODS

2.1 Field Methods

The location of all transects is depicted on Figure 2. A list of all the transect names appears in Table 1, Yellow River Ranch Transects, along with the current and target FLUCCS codes for each transect.

Table 1: Yellow River Ranch Monitoring Scope by Activity

Project Name	Transect/Activity Type	Polygon Descriptor	Number of Transects					
Yellow River Ranch	Pedestrian Transect/Qualitative	625 – Hydric Pine Flatwoods	1					
	Total		1					
Yellow River Ranch	Quantitative Transect 150'	625- Hydric Pine Flatwoods	2					
Total								
Yellow River Ranch	Belt Transect 20' X 150'	621 - Cypress	2					
Yellow River Ranch	Belt Transect 20' X 150'	615 - Bottomland	2					
	Total	•	4					

The data in this table was provided by the Northwest Florida Water Management District.



2.1.1 Quantitative Transects

Biological indicators are commonly used criteria for analyzing the value, health and restoration success of habitats. Indicators obtained from the monitoring methodology employed at the Yellow River Ranch Restoration Site include species diversity, relative cover, density and frequency for plant species. The sum of relative values (cover, density and frequency) is typically referred to as importance value. Ranking of plant species importance is used to describe the community structure, e.g. importance allows for discovery of dominant species, sensitive species and dominant lifeforms (i.e. herb, woody shrub, vine, or tree). Plant lifeform and community structure are typically measured in three plant strata: groundcover, shrub and canopy.

A summary of the measurements (importance, lifeform, diversity) for each plant community or habitat permits a critical evaluation of the landscape. The evaluation allows a determination of appropriate indicator species, species richness, invasive exotic plants and presence of appropriate lifeforms versus lifeforms indicative of a degraded landscape. Evaluations of the measurements are used to assist in the selection of the appropriate restoration and management strategies, determination of the successional landscape trending, the need for adaptive management strategies to enhance conditions for appropriate plant community structure, diversity and lifeforms; and successful adherence to and completion of regulatory permit conditions. The quantitative monitoring methodology includes the following steps:

For measuring the Groundcover, Shrubs, and Vines a 150' linear transect with fifteen 1m X 1m quadrats will be employed:

a) Measure and apply one 1m X 1m quadrat at each of the 15 points. Fifteen (15) quadrats are used to sample each transect. The methodology samples 15 square meters along each 150' transect.

b) Photograph each sample point with the grid in place. A representative point is selected and located with a GPS to obtain a 360 degree (panoramic) photograph of the landscape.

c) Identify and estimate coverage for each species. All groundcover, shrub, and vine species are identified. Data collected for each plot includes species name, percent cover by species, percent bare ground, and notes. The total coverage of each species within the plot was estimated using the following percentage classes: 100%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 12%, 6%, and 3%. The coverage classes represent successive divisions of the square by one-half (after 75%), and are readily and consistently applied in the field. Bare ground and/or open water is also recorded using the same coverage classes listed above.

2.1.2. Belt Transects

Belt transects are used to measure the quantity and heath of tree saplings and for this study, specifically the quantity and health of planted trees.

a) Trees and saplings are located within the belt transect. Identify all trees and saplings, assign a height scale to all in the following increments: 0-1'; >1-2'; >2'-3'; >3'-4'; >4'-5'; >5'-6. Note overall health of plants qualitatively as healthy, growing, stunted and/or limited mortality.

b) Tree species are recorded, along with a height class and the condition of the trees, for each belt transect.

2.1.3 Qualitative Transects

The initial qualitative monitoring is conducted prior to implementation of restoration activities in the late summer/fall and annually thereafter for the duration specified in the permit. The length of the transect is variable and depends upon the nature and size of the FLUCCS delineation that is being evaluated.

The monitoring is conducted by recording observations along the designated transect called the "walking path". Each walking paths is designed to ensure maximal coverage of the selected plant community. The walking path is typically a loop for smaller ecosystem delineations and a line for larger ecosystem delineations. Approved transect locations are uploaded to a GPS unit to guide a walking traverse in the field. During the traverse, a record is maintained of species diversity and observations regarding overall ecosystem health and fecundity. Indications of wildlife usage and pertinent natural history notes are recorded. GPS locations are obtained for exotic invasive species and threatened and endangered species observed. Upon completion of the walking traverse, specific parameters are observed and recorded at an observation point for all polygons. The specific parameters include the following:

- 1. The type of plant community sampled.
- 2. The date, time and weather conditions.
- 3. An estimation of the aerial coverage of plants in the canopy, subcanopy and shrub strata and identification of the dominant species in the canopy, subcanopy and shrub strata.
- 4. An estimation of the coverage of graminoids (grasses, sedges and rushes) and total coverage of groundcover including graminoids and forbs, based on the following cover classes as per a modified Braun/Blanquet scale: 0-1%; 1-5%; 5-25%; 25-50%; 50-75%; 75-100%.
- 5. Identification of at least four dominant species in the groundcover.
- 6. Indications of wildlife usage and natural history including presence of any threatened or endangered species. Also note and obtain gps locations for threatened and endangered species observed at other points along the transect.
- 7. Identification of exotic species and estimated coverage of exotics as per Brower, et al., 1998. Also note and obtain gps locations for exotic invasive species

observed at other points along the transect.

- 8. An estimation of the fuel load and aspects of the vegetative condition that might affect fire. Measure depth of litter and duff. Observe soil moisture conditions in upper 6 inches by inserting tiling spade into soil and using tactile method to determine moisture state.
- 9. A list of plant species encountered during the qualitative transect inspection.

2.1.4 Panoramic Photographs

Representative photographs are obtained at specific locations for each quantitative and qualitative transect. The photographic documentation is a 360 degree panorama of the landscape at one end of the quantitative transect and at the representative data point for the qualitative transects. Photographic locations are depicted on Figures 3 and 4.

2.1.5 Additional Observations

All incidental listed wildlife and botanical observations are recorded during site visits. Surveys are conducted concurrently with overall site assessments performed as part of quantitative and qualitative transect field work. No threatened or endangered species were observed during the site visit.





2.2 Analytical Methods

Biostatistical methods are employed to quantitatively describe and summarize the monitoring field data. The data collected in quadrats along 150' linear transects and within a 20' X 150' belt transects is analyzed by calculating the proportional distribution of all plants in the groundcover quadrats and recorded. The transect data is treated as representative samples of larger plant community polygons. The basic units for describing populations and communities are relative density, frequency and coverage. From these parameters, species importance and diversity are calculated. Formulas are provided below for several measures used to analyze the data.

2.2.1 Statistical Methods for Linear Transects

From the raw data, sum separately:

- (1) the % coverage of each species from all plots
- (2) the # of individuals of each species from all plots
- (3) the % coverage of all species sampled in plots
- (4) the #'s of individuals of all species sampled in plots

2.2.2 Relative Coverage

Calculate the Relative Coverage by dividing the total coverage of each species by the total coverage of all species.

RC=(1)/(3)

2.2.3 Relative Density

Calculate the Relative Density by dividing the total # of individuals of each species by the total #'s of individuals of all species. RD= (2)/(4)

2.2.4 Relative Frequency

Calculate the Relative Frequency by initially calculating the frequency for each species (5). This is the total number of sample plots in which a species occurred in divided by the total number of plots sampled. Sum the frequencies of each species (6). The Relative Frequency is obtained by dividing the frequency of each species by the total frequencies of all species.

RF=(5)/(6)

2.2.5 Importance Value

The Importance Value is the sum of all Relative values for each species. Importance Value = RC+RD+RF The Importance Value Percentage is the Importance Value multiplied by 100 Importance Value Percentage = Importance Value * 100

2.2.6 Statistical Methods for Belt Transects

For the 20' X 150' belt transects the number of tree saplings per acre and total tree sapling diversity is calculated. From the raw data, sum separately:

(1) the individuals of each tree species with height measure/20' X 150' belt transects.

2.2.7 Number of Trees/Acre

Calculate the Number of Trees/Acre by multiplying the total number of tree species recorded in the 150' X 20' belt transect by 14.28. Trees/Acre = (1)(14.28)

3.0 DATA AND OBSERVATIONS

3.1. Quantitative Transect Data

Four standard calculations of the relative abundance of each species are given for each quantitative transect: Importance Value, Relative Cover, Relative Density, and Relative Frequency (See Tables 2a and 3a). Quantitative summary data is reported for each transect and broken down by plant community (See Tables 2b and 3b). Summary data for the belt transects is provided in Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7.

Table 2a. Transect YRRT1-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods

Species	Importance Value (%)	Relative Cover (%)	Relative Density (%)	Relative Frequency (%)
Forbs				
Euthamia caroliniana	11.0	10.3	13.7	8.9
Centella asiatica	10.9	5.6	18.3	8.9
Rubus argutus	10.2	12.5	10.0	8.1
Diodia virginiana	9.3	7.0	12.0	8.9
Rubus cuneifolius	5.7	6.4	5.1	5.6
Rubus trivialis	3.0	2.9	2.7	3.2
Symphyotrichum dumosum	1.6	0.8	0.9	3.2
Agalinis fasciculata	1.6	1.7	0.5	2.4
Dichondra carolinensis	1.2	0.5	2.2	0.8
Solidago rugosa subsp. aspera	1.1	0.4	1.4	1.6
Cuphea carthagenensis	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.6
Viola lanceolata	1.0	0.4	1.1	1.6
Hypericum cistifolium	0.7	0.4	0.2	1.6
Solidago fistulosa	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.8
Oldenlandia uniflora	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.8
Rhexia mariana	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.8
Scoparia dulcis	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.8
Hydrocotyle verticillata	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.8
Viola primulifolia	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.8
Graminoids				
Paspalum notatum	16.7	25.5	15.7	8.9
Axonopus furcatus	7.1	7.7	6.3	7.3
Andropogon glomeratus	3.8	4.9	1.5	4.8
Cyperus odoratus	1.0	0.4	1.1	1.6
Ctenium aromaticum	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.6
Aristida stricta	0.9	1.0	0.2	1.6
Kyllinga odorata	0.9	0.4	0.6	1.6
Juncus scirpoides	0.8	0.5	1.0	0.8
Rhynchospora plumosa	0.7	0.4	0.2	1.6
Juncus marginatus	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.8
Woody Plants				
Myrica cerifera	2.7	5.3	0.4	2.4
Ilex vomitoria	1.2	0.9	0.3	2.4
Sapium sebiferum	0.7	0.4	0.2	1.6
Nyssa sylvatica v. biflora	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.8
Baccharis halimifolia	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.8

Table 2b. Transect YRRT1-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods

Groundcover	Vegetation Relat	Average Cover (%)	Species	
Forbs	Graminoids	Woody Plants	Bare ground/ Standing water	Richness
50.1%	41.8%	7.2%	31.8%	34
	0.37			

Transect YRRT1-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods



Table 3a. Transect YRRT2-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods

Species	Importance Value (%)	Relative Cover (%)	Relative Density (%)	Relative Frequency (%)
Forbs				
Centella asiatica	13.1	9.5	17.6	12.3
Diodia virginiana	11.3	9.1	14.2	10.7
Euthamia caroliniana	9.5	10.1	8.7	9.8
Rhexia virginica	4.0	2.8	2.7	6.6
Symphyotrichum dumosum	1.5	0.9	1.0	2.5
Proserpinaca pectinata	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.6
Rhexia mariana	0.9	0.5	0.6	1.6
Rubus cuneifolius	0.8	0.5	0.3	1.6
Ludwigia pilosa	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.8
Solidago fistulosa	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.8
Solidago rugosa	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.8
Lycopus rubellus	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.8
Erechtites hieraciifolius	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.8
Graminoids				
Axonopus furcatus	19.7	27.8	19.7	11.5
Paspalum boscianum	6.4	6.8	6.7	5.7
Eleocharis baldwinii	5.6	4.3	9.2	3.3
Rhynchospora plumosa	4.4	3.1	6.0	4.1
Andropogon glomeratus	3.9	5.3	1.5	4.9
Rhynchospora nitens	2.7	2.5	2.3	3.3
Dichanthelium scabriusculum	2.0	2.8	1.5	1.6
Panicum hians	1.7	1.2	1.4	2.5
Rhynchospora fascicularis	1.6	1.2	1.3	2.5
Rhynchospora microcarpa	1.2	2.4	0.5	0.8
Rhynchospora caduca	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.8
Woody Plants				
Nyssa sylvatica v. biflora	3.9	3.8	1.5	6.6
Myrica cerifera	1.1	2.4	0.1	0.8
Hypericum fasciculatum	0.7	1.1	0.1	0.8

Groundcover	Vegetation Relative	e Cover (%)	Average Cover (%)	
Forbs	Graminoids	Woody Plants	Bare ground/ Standing water	Species Richness
35%	58%	7%	24%	27
	0.5			

Table 3b. Transect YRRT2-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods

Transect YRRT2-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods



Belt Tr								
		Height S	Scale (feet)					
Species	Total Number	0-1'	>1'-2'	>2' -3'	>3'-4'	>4'-5'	>5'-6'	Condition
Acer rubrum	39	3	5	31	0	0		healthy/growing
Chamaecyparis thyoides	9	0	0	4	4	0	1	many saplings in decline
Nyssa biflora	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	healthy/growing
Pinus elliottii	15	0	2	4	4	3	2	healthy/growing
Sapium sebiferum	6	2	2	2	0	0	0	healthy/growing
Taxodium ascendens	33	2	9	14	5	1	2	healthy/growing
Total number of Saplings	104							
Number of Saplings/Acre	1,485.12							

Table 4. Belt Transect Summary for YYR-BT1-615

Table 5. Belt Transect Summary for YYR-BT2-615

Belt Transect Summ								
Species	Total Number	0-1'	>1'-2'	>2' -3'	>3'-4'	>4'-5'	>5'-6'	Condition
Chamaecyparis thyoides	29	0	2	4	7	5	11	many saplings in decline
Pinus palustris	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	healthy/growing
Quercus laurifolia	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	healthy/growing
Total number of Saplings	32							
Number of Saplings/Acre	843.06							

Belt Tr								
		Height S						
Species	Total Number	0-1'	>1'-2'	>2' -3'	>3'-4'	>4'-5'	>5'-6'	Condition
Chamaecyparis thyoides	12	0	1	3	5	2	1	many saplings in decline
Pinus elliottii	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	healthy/growing
Taxodium ascendens	15	0	3	6	6	0	0	plants are stunted
Total number of Saplings	30							
Number of Saplings/Acre	428.4]						

Table 6. Belt Transect Summary for YYR-BT3-621

Table 7. Belt Transect Summaries for Transect YYR-BT3-621

Belt Transec										
	Height Scale (feet)									
Species	Total Number	0-1'	>1'-2'	>2' -3'	>3'-4'	>4'-5'	>5'-6'	Condition		
Chamaecyparis thyoides	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	many saplings in decline		
Cyrilla racemiflora	9	0	4	5	0	0	0	healthy/growing		
Pinus elliottii	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	healthy/growing		
Taxodium ascendens	82	10	6	44	20	2	0	healthy/growing		
Total number of Saplings	97									
Number of Saplings/Acre	1,385.16									

3.2. Qualitative Transect Data

A summary of the qualitative data and a plant list (Table 8) are provided below for Qualitative Transect YRR-PT1-625. The qualitative data sheet recorded for this transect is located in Appendix A.

Qualitative Transect YRR-PT1-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods

The plant community is wet flatwoods using the FNAI classification. The location where this transect was conducted, was managed for pasture and is currently in the process of being restored. There is no canopy, subcanopy or well developed shrub strata. Shrub coverage is 0-1 percent and the majority of shrubs are in the 0-0.5m height class. The dominant shrub species are *Ilex glabra* and *Baccharis halimifolia*. The graminoid groundcover coverage class is 51-75% percent and the total groundcover cover class is 76-100% percent. The dominant groundcover species are *Andropogon virginicus, Axonopus furcatus, Centella asiatica, Diodia virginiana, Eupatorium leptophyllum, Euthamia caroliniana, Paspalum notatum, Rhynchospora* spp., *Rubus* spp., and *Symphyotrichum dumosum*. The site has relatively low bare ground coverage because the area is open, and abundant light and moisture are available to the herbaceous plant species.

Groundcover diversity is good and the diversity is expected to increase with increased management of the site. Wildlife observations included a northern mockingbird (*Mimus polyglottos*), a little blue heron (*Egretta caerulea*), a Louisiana heron (*Egretta tricolor*), a blue grosbeak (*Passerina caerulea*), an eastern kingbird (*Tyrannus tyrannus*), an eastern bluebird (*Sialia sialis*), a red-shouldered hawk (*Buteo lineatus*), a turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*), a starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*), a Carolina anole (*Anolis carolinensis*), a black racer (*Coluber constrictor priapus*), a gray treefrog (*Hyla chrysoscelis*), a green treefrog (*Hyla cinerea*), a cloudless sulfur (*Phoebis sennae*), a buckeye butterfly (*Junonia coenia*), a palamedes swallowtail (*Papilio palamedes*), a stenk bug, a cicada, a leaf hopper, a green lynx spider (*Peucetia viridans*), a flower crab spider (*Misumenops celer*), and a yellow garden orbweaver (*Argiope aurantia*).

Exotic species were observed, including the Chinese tallow (*Sapium sebiferum*), which is found in the 1-5% coverage range, and evidence of feral hogs (*Sus scrofa*) rutting the soils. Natural regeneration of appropriate species is occurring. Forty-one plant species were observed and most of these are successional herbaceous species. Overall, the landscape is fire suppressed. The depth of duff is approximately 1 cm and there are many fine fuels in which to carry a fire across the landscape.

Scientific Name	Common Name
Andropogon virginicus	Virginia broomgrass
Agalinis fasciculata	beach false foxglove
Aristida stricta	wiregrass
Axonopus furcatus	big carpetgrass
Baccharis halimifolia	sea myrtle
Bidens mitis	smallfruit beggarticks
Centella asiatica	spade leaf
Chamaecyparis thyoides	white cedar
Ctenium aromaticum	toothachegrass
Cyperus odoratus	flatsedge
Dichanthelium acuminatum	witchgrass
Dichanthelium ensifolium	witchgrass
Dichondra carolinensis	ponyfoot
Diodia virginiana	common persimmon
Erechtites hieraciifolius	American burnweed
Euthamia caroliniana	slender flattop goldenrod
Fuirena breviseta	umbrellasedge
Juncus marginatus	shore rush
Lachnanthes caroliana	redroot
Ludwigia linifolia	Southeastern primrosewillow
Ludwigia maritima	seaside primrosewillow
Ludwigia pilosa	hairy primrosewillow
Lycopus virginicus	Virginia bugleweed
Myrica cerifera	wax myrtle
Nyssa biflora	tupelo
Oldenlandia uniflora	clustered mille graines
Panicum hians	gaping panicum
Paspalum notatum	bahiagrass
Rhexia mariana	Maryland meadowbeauty
Rhexia virginica	handsome Harry
Rhynchospora fascicularis	fascicled beaksedge
Rhynchospora plumosa	beaksedge
Rhynchospora inundata	horned beaksedge
Rubus argutus	blackberry
Sapium sebiferum	Chinese tallow tree
Sesbania vesicaria	bladderpod

Scientific Name	Common Name
Scoparia dulcis	goats rue
Solidago fistulosa	pinebarren goldenrod
Symphyotrichum dumosum	rice button aster
Viola lanceolata	bog white violet
Viola primulifolia	primrose-leaf violet

Table 8. Plant List for YRR-PT1 625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods (Continued)

3.3. Photographic Documentation

Panoramic photographs are located in Appendix B of the monitoring report. Quantitative monitoring plot photographs are located in Appendix C.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Yellow River Ranch Restoration site is located within the floodplain of the Yellow River. Intact native bottomland is located on the lowest portion of the floodplain while the restoration area is located on low erosional terrace that is generally flooded less frequently. The erosional terrace also has soil, landform and vegetative signatures of a seepage slope. Significant anthropogenic alteration and drainage of the erosional terrace resulted in a cultural landscape of drained pasture lands managed by the cultivation and grazing of non-native forage grasses. Restoration of the site involves hydrologic modification, installation the appropriate native species, control of invasive species, and prescribed fire in selected areas.

Approximately 155 acres of the Yellow River Ranch consists of existing forested Bottomland (615), with the remaining 120 acres converted to pasture from a previously forested landscape. Of the remaining 120 acres, 27 acres of Bottomland (615), 9 acres of Cypress (621) and 60 acres of Hydric Pine Flatwoods (625) are the focus of the quantitative monitoring. Table 9 summarizes the performance standards for each of the sampled plant communities.

The results of quantitative monitoring within the polygon identified as Hydric Pine Flatwoods (625) indicate that this is a landscape dominated by graminoids and forbs representing mostly herbaceous, perennial lifeforms. The presence of successional, herbaceous native species is indicative of a landscape that has been disturbed and is in the process of increasing species richness. Species richness ranges from 29 to 32 species in the quantitative transects. Specifically there are species such as beaksedge (*Rhynchospora plumosa*) and toothache grass (*Ctenium aromaticum*) that are associated with the historic landscape of Hydric Pine Flatwoods. Toothache grass, along with wiregrass (*Aristida stricta*), are species that require prescribed fire to flourish and spread. Wiregrass was found within the transects; however, this species is one of the native groundcover species that has been planted in the Hydric Pine Flatwoods (625) polygon. As measured in transect YRR-T1-625, bahia grass (*Paspalum notatum*), continues to

be the most dominant groundcover species in this area. Bahia grass has been eradicated by herbicide application in other areas of the site.

Seedling swamp gum (*Nyssa sylvatica* var. *biflora*), white cedar (*Chameacyparis thyoides*), Chinese tallow tree (*Sapium sebiferum*) and slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*) were also observed within the Hydric Pine Flatwoods (625) polygon. Significantly the soils on the site were saturated and on the lower slope, flooded. This has likely caused species such as white cedar that are not adapted to long-term inundation to decline. In addition there is significant mortality of all tree seedlings associated with hog rutting. This is especially evident in belt transect BT1-615.

The quantitative summary results for the tree saplings in the target FLUCCS communities identified as forested/cypress wetlands (621 and 615) indicate that there are at least 400 to 1,400 trees/acre in the sample area. YRR-BT3-621is located in an area of disturbed soils and the planted pond cypress are stunted. White cedar are in decline in all sample areas because the entire site is very wet. As the site is restored hydrologically, the wetland tree saplings will likely recruit naturally from the adjacent, mature bottomland forest and augment the species richness already present.

The landscape traversed during the pedestrian transect is entirely mapped as Hydric Pine Flatwoods (625). Overall the dominance of herbaceous plant lifeforms in the Hydric Pine Flatwoods is consistent with the quantitative measures of groundcover species in the Hydric Pine Flatwoods (625), Cypress (621) and Bottomland (615). All wetland polygons all are undergoing succession and trending toward a woody plant dominated landscape.

Objectives	Performance Standards	Status
150)' Linear Transect YRRT1-625 Hydr	ric Pine Flatwoods
Reduce and/or eliminate invasive, exotic and nuisance vegetation.	Invasive exotic vegetation less than 1% cover over the site and nuisance/non-invasive exotic vegetation less than 5% cover.	Invasive exotics (bahia) greater than 1% of the groundcover coverage; nuisance, non-native vegetation less than 5% cover.
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of species appropriate for management goals and target natural community. 80% coverage by desirable species.	Site is undergoing natural succession, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and 80% coverage by native species. Species richness of native plants = 34.

Table 9. Objectives, Performance Standards, and Current Status by Habitat Type.

Objectives	Performance Standards	Status			
150' Linear Transect YRRT1-625 Hydric Pine Flatwoods (Continued)					
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate tree vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of tree species appropriate for management goals and target natural community.	Tree succession occurring, mostly loblolly and slash pine, red maple, white cedar and swamp tupelo.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate groundcover vegetation.	Increase in appropriate herbaceous, shrub and /or tree species.	Site is undergoing natural succession toward a forested landscape, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and coverage by native species.			
150)' Linear Transect YRRT2-625 Hydr	ric Pine Flatwoods			
Reduce and/or eliminate invasive, exotic and nuisance vegetation.	Invasive exotic vegetation less than 1% cover over the site and nuisance/non-invasive exotic vegetation less than 5% cover.	Invasive exotics less than 1% of the groundcover coverage; nuisance, non-native vegetation less than 5% cover.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of species appropriate for management goals and target natural community. 80% coverage by desirable species.	Site is undergoing natural succession, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and 80% coverage by native species. Species richness of native plants = 27.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate tree vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of tree species appropriate for management goals and target natural community.	Tree succession occurring, mostly loblolly and slash pine, red maple, white cedar and swamp tupelo.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate groundcover vegetation.	Increase in appropriate herbaceous, shrub and /or tree species.	Site is undergoing natural succession toward a forested landscape, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and coverage by native species.			

Table 9. Objectives, Performance Standards, and Current Status by Habitat Type(Continued).

Table 9.	Objectives,	Performance	Standards, an	nd Current	Status by	Habitat 7	Гуре
(Continu	ıed).						

Belt Transect YYR-BT1-615 Bottomland					
Reduce and/or eliminate invasive, exotic and nuisance vegetation.	Invasive exotic vegetation less than 1% cover over the site and nuisance/non-invasive exotic vegetation less than 5% cover.	Invasive exotics (Chinese tallow seedlings) less than 1% of the groundcover coverage; nuisance, non- native vegetation less than 5% cover.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of species appropriate for management goals and target natural community. 80% coverage by desirable species.	Site is undergoing natural succession, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and 80% relative coverage by native species. Species richness of native plants >25.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate tree vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of tree species appropriate for management goals and target natural community.	Saplings were planted in 2007. Over 500 surviving tree saplings/acre.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate groundcover vegetation.	Increase in appropriate herbaceous, shrub and /or tree species.	Site is undergoing natural succession toward a forested landscape, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and coverage by native species.			
	Belt Transect YYR-BT2-615	Bottomland			
Reduce and/or eliminate invasive, exotic and nuisance vegetation.	Invasive exotic vegetation less than 1% cover over the site and nuisance/non-invasive exotic vegetation less than 5% cover.	Invasive exotics (Chinese tallow seedlings) less than 1% of the groundcover coverage; nuisance, non- native vegetation less than 5% cover.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of species appropriate for management goals and target natural community. 80% coverage by desirable species.	Site is undergoing natural succession, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and 80% relative coverage by native species. Species richness of native plants >25.			
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate tree vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of tree species appropriate for management goals and target natural community.	Saplings were planted in 2007. Over 800 surviving tree saplings/acre.			

Table 9.	Objectives,	Performance	Standards, ar	d Current	t Status by	Habitat '	Туре
(Continu	ıed).						

Bel	t Transect YYR-BT2-615 Bottom	nland (Continued)
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate groundcover vegetation.	Increase in appropriate herbaceous, shrub and /or tree species.	Site is undergoing natural succession toward a forested landscape, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and coverage by native species.
	Belt Transect YYR-BT3-621	Cypress
Reduce and/or eliminate invasive, exotic and nuisance vegetation.	Invasive exotic vegetation less than 1% cover over the site and nuisance/non-invasive exotic vegetation less than 5% cover.	Invasive exotics (Chinese tallow seedlings) less than 1% of the groundcover coverage; nuisance, non- native vegetation less than 5% cover.
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of species appropriate for management goals and target natural community. 80% coverage by desirable species.	Site is undergoing natural succession, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and 80% relative coverage by native species. Species richness of native plants >25.
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate tree vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of tree species appropriate for management goals and target natural community.	Saplings were planted in 2007. Over 400 surviving tree saplings/acre.
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate groundcover vegetation.	Increase in appropriate herbaceous, shrub and /or tree species.	Site is undergoing natural succession toward a forested landscape, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and coverage by native species.
	Belt Transect YYR-BT4-621	Cypress
Reduce and/or eliminate invasive, exotic and nuisance vegetation.	Invasive exotic vegetation less than 1% cover over the site and nuisance/non-invasive exotic vegetation less than 5% cover.	Invasive exotics (Chinese tallow seedlings) less than 1% of the groundcover coverage; nuisance, non- native vegetation less than 5% cover.
Increase coverage and diversity of native, appropriate vegetation.	Kind and total coverage of species appropriate for management goals and target natural community. 80% coverage by desirable species.	Site is undergoing natural succession, it is trending, slowly, toward increased diversity and 80% relative coverage by native species. Species richness of native plants >25.

Belt Transect YYR-BT4-621 Cypress (Continued)			
Increase coverage and	Kind and total coverage of tree	Saplings were planted in 2007. Over	
diversity of native,	species appropriate for	1000 surviving tree saplings/acre.	
appropriate tree	management goals and target		
vegetation.	natural community.		
Increase coverage and	Increase in appropriate	Site is undergoing natural succession	
diversity of native,	herbaceous, shrub and /or tree	toward a forested landscape, it is	
appropriate groundcover	species.	trending, slowly, toward increased	
vegetation.		diversity and coverage by native	
		species.	

Table 9.	Objectives,	Performance	Standards, and	Current	Status by	Habitat '	Туре
(Continu	ied).				-		

5.0. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A snapshot of the current conditions at the Yellow River Ranch restoration site was obtained from ecological monitoring in 2013. The data indicates a landscape that is continuing to trend towards the restoration goals and habitat target.

The bottomland (615) restoration area landscape is continuing to trend toward the desired target; however, invasive exotic Chinese tallow tree saplings should be monitored and treated. The cypress (621) restoration area is also continuing to trend toward the desired target of a pond cypress dominated landscape. Invasive exotic Chinese tallow tree saplings continue to be a concern in this area and should be monitored and treated. There are small areas of pond cypress that are still not thriving in the cypress restoration area.

The hydric pine flatwoods (625) restoration areas could be augmented by additional native groundcover plantings that are typical in wet savanna or wet prairie. No canopy has been planted in this area. ERC recommends planting this area with an appropriate density of native hydric pine flatwoods trees, such as slash and pond pines, and pond cypress.

Threats to the inherent biodiversity of this site continue to include fire suppression, hydrologic modification, non-native pasture grass and herbaceous weeds growth, feral hog damage, exotic invasive vegetation, and climate change. The expansion of invasive exotic species incursions on the site should be monitored carefully. ERC recommends removal of feral hogs from the site as soon as is feasible.

6.0 REFERENCES

Ashton, R. E. Jr, and Patricia S. Ashton. Handbook of Reptiles and Amphibians of Florida. Part One, The Snakes. Windward Publishing. 1988.

Ashton, R. E. Jr, and Patricia S. Ashton. Handbook of Reptiles and Amphibians of Florida. Part Two, Lizards, Turtles and Crocodilians. Windward Publishing. 1991.

Ashton, R. E. Jr, and Patricia S. Ashton. Handbook of Reptiles and Amphibians of Florida. Part Three, The Amphibians. Windward Publishing. 1991.

Brower, James E., Zar, Jerrold H. and Carl N. von Ende. Field and Laboratory Methods for General Ecology. Fourth Edition. The McGraw-Hill Company. 1998.

Chafin, Linda G. Field Guide to the Rare Plants of Florida. Tallahassee: Florida Natural Areas Inventory, 2000.

Clewell, Andre F. Guide to the Vascular Plants of the Florida Panhandle. Tallahassee: Florida State University Press, 1985.

Clewell, Andre F. Natural Setting and Vegetation of the Florida Panhandle: An Account of the Environments and Plant Communities of Northern Florida West of the Suwannee River. Mobile: U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1986.

Clewell, Andre F. and James Aronson. Ecological Restoration, Principles, Values and Structure of an Emerging Profession. Society for Ecological Restoration. Island Press. 2007.

Clewell, Andre F. and John D. Tobe. Cinnamomum-Ardisia Forest in Northern Florida. <u>Castanea</u> 76(3):245-254. September 2011.

Coile, Nancy C. and Mark A. Garland. Notes on Florida's Endangered and Threatened Plants. Fourth Edition. Gainesville: Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, 2003.

Egan, Dave and Evelyn A. Howell. The Historical Ecology Handbook, A Restorationist's Guide to Reference Ecosystems. Society for Ecological Restoration. Island Press. 2001.

Egan, Dave, Evan Hjerpe and Jesse Abrams. Human Dimensions of Ecological Restoration, Integrating Science, Nature and Culture. Society for Ecological Restoration. Island Press. 2011.

Florida Department of Transportation, Surveying and Mapping Office, Geographic Mapping Section. "Florida Land Use, Cover and Forms Classification System. Third Edition. Handbook. January 1999.

Florida Natural Areas Inventory. Guide to the Natural Communities of Florida. Tallahassee: Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources, 2010.

Godfrey, Robert K. Trees, Shrubs, and Woody Vines of Northern Florida and Adjacent Georgia and Alabama. Athens: The University of Georgia Press, 1988.

Godfrey, Robert K. and Jean W. Wooten. Aquatic and Wetland Plants of Southeastern United States. Athens: The University of Georgia Press, 1981.

Hipes, Dan, et al. Field Guide to the Rare Animals of Florida. Tallahassee: Florida Natural Areas Inventory, 2001.

Kaufman, Kenn and Eric R. Eaton. Kaufman Field Guide to Insects of North America. Hillstar Editions, L.C. 2007.

Langeland, K. A. and K. Craddock Burks, editors. Identification & Biology of Non-Native Plants in Florida's Natural Areas. Gainesville: University of Florida IFAS Extension, 1998.

Lellinger, David B. A Field Manual of the Ferns and Fern-Allies of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution. 1985.

Marsh, Owen T. Geology of Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties, Western Florida Panhandle. Bulletin No. 46. United States Geological Society. 1966.

Myers, Ronald J. and John J. Ewel, editors. Ecosystems of Florida. Orlando: University of Central Florida Press, 1990.

Northwest Florida Water Management District. Yellow River Ranch Mitigation Area Revised Mitigation Plan. April 22, 2011.

Northwest Florida Water Management District. Yellow River Ranch Mitigation Area Santa Rosa County, FL Hydrologic-Hydraulic Study. Revised February 22, 2008.

Sibley, David Allen. The Sibley Field Guide to Birds of Eastern North America. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc., 2003.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey of Santa Rosa County, Florida in Web Soil Survey. Available online at http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/. Accessed [12/10/2012].

Tobe, John D., et al. Florida Wetland Plants: An Identification Manual. Tallahassee: Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 1998.

Wunderlin, Richard P. Guide to the Vascular Plants of Florida, Third Edition. Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2011.

2013 Monitoring Report

APPENDIX A

QUALITATIVE DATA SHEETS

2013 Monitoring Report

APPENDIX B

PANORAMIC PHOTOGRAPHS

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE TRANSECTS

2013 Monitoring Report

APPENDIX C

QUANTITATIVE MONITORING PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

TRANSECT YRR-T1-625 HYDRIC PINE FLATWOODS

TRANSECT YRR-T2-625 HYDRIC PINE FLATWOODS

Qualitative assessment data sheet		
Transect ID: YRR-PT1-625	Date: 8/	/22/2013
Plant Community Type: Hydric pine savar	nna Time (ar	m/pm): 10:00 AM CT
1. Weather: Full Sun	✓ Part Sun Cloudy	Cloudy with Rain/Fog
2. Temperature: 20-50 F	51-70 F 71-90 F	✓ 91-110 F
Pine Plantation (Rows)	on in progress Pine Plantation (Rows)	Managed for Pine Natural Forest
3. CANOPY % cover: Absent	0-1% 1-5% 6-25% 26-50%	6 🔲 51-75% 🔲 76-100%
4. Estimated height class of the majority of	TREES using the following scale:	✓ absent 3-5m 6-10m >10m
	List 6 dominant TREE species observed	l in canopy:
1. <u>N/A</u>	2	3
4	5	6
5. Estimated height class of the majority of	SUBCANOPY using the following scale:	✓ absent 3-5m 6-10m >10m
	List up to 6 dominant SUBCANOPY specie	es observed:
1. <u>N/A</u>	2	3
4	5. <u></u>	6
6. SHRUBS % cover:	Absent 0-1% 1-5% 6-25%	✓ 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%
	List 3 dominant SHRUB species obs	served:
1. Baccharis halimifolia	2. Myrica cerifera	3. Chamaecyparis thyoides
7. Estimated height class of the majority of	SHRUBS using the following scale:	absent 05m .6-1.5m 🗸 1.6-3m
List 3 (of the most common SHRUB and/or TREE	seedlings observed:
	2. Chamaecyparis thyoides	3. Myrica cerifera
8. GROUNDCOVER % cover of graminoids (gra	isses, sedges and rusnes):	
	0.1% $1.5%$ $6.25%$ $26.50%$	₀ [✔] 51-75% [_] 76-100%
9. TOTAL GROUNDCOVER % COVER (Including		
	U-1% 1-5% 6-25% 26-50%	\sim $151-75\%$ \checkmark $76-100\%$
1 Andropogon glomeratus	2 Paspalum potatum	Axononus furcatus
A Rhynchospora spn	5 Futhamia caroliniana	6 Centella asiatica
7 Diodia virginiana	B. Rubus argutus 9. Juncus marginatus	
List the NATIVE WEED	or RUDERAL species observe - otherwise	SEE 18 EXOTIC SPECIES BELOW
1. Paspalum notatum	2. Diodia virginiana	3. Rubus argutus
4. Rubus cuneatus	5. Rubus trivialis	6. Paspalum dilatatum
Vegetation notes: Site is dominated by ruderal speci	es. There are many shrubs/tree saplings beginning to	o dominate parts of the landscape, most are bird & wind
dispersed species. The white cedar sablings are in de	ecline across much of the site. especially in the wetter	st/flooded areas, due to excessive soil saturation.

Qualitative assessment data sheet	
Transect ID: YRR-PT1-625	Date: 8/22/2013
Plant Community Type: Hydric pine savanna	
10. Tree density: no canopy	
11. Tree health: no canopy	
13. Water table: at the surface below surface	Standing water: 🗸 present 🗌 absent
14. Water color: 🗸 tannic 🔤 non-tannic/clear	loudy
Notes on wildlife usage observed:	
1. Red shouldered hawk2. Eas	tern bluebirds 3. Eastern kingbirds
4. Turkey vulture 5. Dra	gon flies 6. Mockingbirds
7. Cloudless sulfer & Buckeye 8. Cric	kets 9. Carolina anole
17. Wildlife usage and natural history observations:	mphibians 🗹 reptiles 🗌 fish 🗹 birds 🗌 mammals 🗸 arthropods
	footprints 🔲 scratch marks 🗸 songs or calls 🔄 scat
Wildlife notes: In areas where water has pooled such as in lower parts	of landscape, wading birds were seen feeding. These included Louisiana heron and little
blue heron. Blue grosbeaks were heard calling from across the landscap	e. Chimney swifts were seen and heard flying in large flocks of 25-50 birds.
Notes on Exotic species observed:	
18. Exotic species: 🗸 present 🗌 absent	
Sapium sebiferum (1%-5% cover) present as well as Paspalum notatum	(> 1% coverage). Extensive areas of soil disturbance from feral pigs.
Notes on Restoration:	
19. Notes on the general aspect of the site/techniques	to meet restoration goals:
Is natural regeneration occurring? 🗸 yes	no and: 🗸 species appropriate 🗸 supplemental planting/seeding needed
Landscape observation: 🗸 fire suppressed	
If planted: not planted	~Tree age: 🔽 0-5 yrs. 🗌 6-10 yrs. 🗌 11-20 yrs. 🗌 20+ yrs.
Recommendations for restoration: 🗸 prescribed by	burn other:
20. Notes on prescribed burning and fire conditions:	
Fuels: duff (cm): 0.5-1.0 litter (cm) 0.2	5-1.0 If burning is not possible because of concern for tree sa
Soil moisture: Wet	undesirable woody species can be herbicided andor mechanically
Specific notes on restoration	, observations, or adaptive management techniques:
Control invasive exotics and burn the site. There are many graminoids ar	Ind fine fuels in the groundcover, when the landscape dries, a prescribed fire should
carry across the site. The fire may kill the young pond cypress to the arc	und, they should coppice.
AA	

Yellow River Ranch Quantitative and Qualitative Monitoring Panoramic Photographs

Yellow River Ranch site. Quantitative Transect YRR-T1-625: Panoramic Photograph depicted in two 180 degree sections.





180⁰

360⁰

Yellow River Ranch Quantitative and Qualitative Monitoring Panoramic Photographs

Yellow River Ranch site. Quantitative Transect YRR-T2-625: Panoramic Photograph depicted in two 180 degree sections.



00





Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc.

 180°

Yellow River Ranch site. Qualitative Pedestrian Transect YRR-PT1-625: Panoramic Photograph depicted in two 180 degree sections.







180⁰



Yellow River Ranch Quantitative and Qualitative Monitoring Panoramic Photographs

Yellow River Ranch site. Photo point YRR-PP1: Panoramic Photograph taken at photo point depicted in two 180 degree sections.







Yellow River Ranch site. Photo point YRR-PP2: Panoramic Photograph taken at photo point depicted in two 180 degree sections.







Yellow River Ranch site. Photo point YRR-PP3: Panoramic Photograph taken at photo point depicted in two 180 degree sections.









Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 10 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 20 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot – 30 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot – 40 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 50 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 60 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 70 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 80 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot – 90 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot – 100 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 110 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 120 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 130 feet; 2) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot - 140 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT1-625 Plot – 150 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 10 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 20 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 30 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 40 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 50 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 60 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 70 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 80 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 90 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 100 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 110 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot - 120 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 130 feet; 2) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 140 feet



Photographs (left to right): 1) Transect YRRT2-625 Plot – 150 feet