Mitigation Attachment

State Road 30A (from SR 30E/Cape San Blas Road north to US 98)

February 25, 2013

Wetland

Impact: 2.056 wetland acres equivalent to a functional loss of 0.80 UMAM (Uniform

Mitigation Assessment Method) units. Impacts are further classified as 1.822 acres of palustrine roadside ditches / 0.67 UMAM loss and 0.234 acres of estuarine wetlands / 0.13 UMAM loss. Located in Gulf County, Florida, the impacts are wholly within the St. Joseph Bay watershed (a component of the St.

Andrew Bay SWIM Basin).

Proposed

Mitigation: Treasure Road Hydrologic Enhancements (Six Low-Water-Crossings and

Associated Ditch Plugs) at St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve.

USACE

Permit: SAJ-2012-02348 SP-AWP

<u>Scope</u>

The St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve (Buffer Preserve), located immediately adjacent to the SR 30A impacts, owns and manages for ecological integrity over 5,000 acres of generally high-quality natural habitat for the express purpose of protecting the aquatic resources of St. Joseph Bay and to conserve and restore environmentally sensitive ecosystems. Treasure Road, a dirt road raised above natural grade and necessary for management access, disrupts the natural hydrology of adjacent hydric pine flatwoods and other wetlands by interfering with overland sheets flows and altering wetland moisture regimes. This mitigation project will offset the wetland functional loss associated with FDOT road improvements on SR 30A (from SR 30E/Cape San Blas Road north to US 98) by enhancing 37.96 acres of palustrine wetlands via construction of six (6) low-water-crossings and associated ditch plugs.

1—Objectives

The objective of this project is to enhance the hydrology of 37.96 acres of forested wetlands (approximately FLUCCS 625 – Hydric Pine Flatwoods and FLUCCS 630 – Wetland Forested Mixed) via construction of six (6) low-water-crossings and associated ditch plugs along Treasure Road, a raised dirt road used for Buffer Preserve management access.

<u>Pre-Restoration Habitat Cover</u> — Forested Wetlands (approximately Hydric Pine Flatwoods FLUCCS 625 and Wetland Forested Mixed FLUCCS 630). 37.96 acres

<u>Post-Restoration Habitat Cover</u> —Forested Wetlands (approximately Hydric Pine Flatwoods FLUCCS 625 and Wetland Forested Mixed FLUCCS 630). 37.96 acres

2—Site Selection Criteria

The St. Joseph Bay watershed (~130 mi²) is a component of the much larger (~1,200 mi²) St. Andrew Bay SWIM (Surface Water Improvement and Management) Basin. Protected areas within the St. Joseph Bay watershed include:

- St. Joseph Peninsula State Park,
- St. Joseph Bay Aquatic Preserve,
- St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve (Buffer Preserve),
- Pig Island (St. Vincent National Wildlife Refuge),
- Eglin Air Force Base managed areas.

St. Joseph Bay is a Class II waterbody (approved for shellfish harvesting), is designated as an Outstanding Florida Water (OFW), and is a USEPA Gulf of Mexico Ecological Management Site (GEMS). Preservation, restoration and management of wetlands and natural upland at the St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve help to protect the water quality, habitat, and spawning and nursery grounds of St. Joseph Bay.

This site was selected as offsetting mitigation for the SR 30A (from SR 30E/Cape San Blas Road north to US 98) impacts for the following reasons:

- The impacts are not within the service area of any existing or planned mitigation bank.
- The impacts are immediately adjacent and hydrologically connected to the mitigation area.
- The impacts and mitigation area are within the same local watershed.
- The mitigation addresses ecological needs of the St. Joseph Bay watershed.
- The mitigation is "in-kind" for the palustrine impacts (89% of the impact acreage is palustrine) and may enhance estuarine wetlands located nearby (~1,000 FT) via buffer improvements.
- The mitigation enables the St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve to implement wetland enhancements that would otherwise be unfunded.

When complete, the mitigation will be self-sustaining and managed for ecological integrity in perpetuity by the ARC-approved (Acquisitions and Restoration Council) St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve management plan.

3—Site Protection Instrument

The mitigation area will be preserved in perpetuity in a natural condition as state-owned preservation lands, and will be managed for ecological integrity in accordance with the ARC-approved (Acquisition and Restoration Council) St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve management plan. The Buffer Preserve (>5,000 acres) was acquired by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (FDEP) Office of Coastal and Aquatic Managed Areas (CAMA) with a "designated single use of the property" as conservation and preservation. Per Florida Statutes, CAMA has assessed the property and determined that no lands at the Buffer Preserve would be suitable for surplus. The Buffer Preserve limits public access to uses that do not conflict with the goals of conservation and preservation.

4—Baseline Information

The Treasure Road mitigation area at the St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve consists of a dirt road raised above natural grade with fill material that bisects forested wetlands. This road blocks natural overland sheet flows and alters wetland moisture regimes.

Maps and Figures (see attached)

- Location of St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve, Treasure Road Mitigation Area, and the SR 30A impact site.
- 2010 DOQ of Treasure Road Mitigation Area.
- 1970 B&W Aerial of Treasure Road Mitigation Area.
- 1942 B&W Aerial of Treasure Road Mitigation Area.
- LiDAR of Treasure Road Mitigation Area.
- Low-Water-Crossing Typical Drawing.
- Ditch Plug Typical Drawing.

Historically, the Buffer Preserve was primarily a mix of hydric pine flatwoods, salt marsh, and mesic flatwoods. During the 20^{th} century, forestry, turpentine operations, and open range cattle grazing were conducted on portions of the property. Acquired by the state of Florida in multiple purchases from 1995-2002, management has included prescribed fire, thinning of pine, and some hydrologic enhancements.

5—Determination of Credits

Estimated mitigation credits for this project were derived using the Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method (UMAM). Assessments by NWFWMD staff suggest that implementation may yield a functional gain of 2.66 UMAM credits.

Determining the wetland area enhanced by installation of low-water-crossings (LWCs) is necessarily subjective. For UMAM scoring purposes and based on extensive experience in Tates

Hell State Forest using protocols previously accepted by the USACE, NWFWMD staff estimate that each LWC and associated ditch plugs would enhance 6.49 acres. The assumption is that the hydrologic enhancement benefits will extend at least 300 feet out from the center of each LWC; the area of the road footprint is ignored for calculation purposes. Because of polygon overlap, the total area of enhancement for the six (6) LWCs is 37.96 acres.

6—Detailed Work Plan

Each low-water-crossing (LWC) site and associated ditch plugs is located in a low-energy hydraulic environment, will not be subject to significant scour potential, and will typically only contain water under wet weather conditions. Existing road fill at each LWC site will be excavated to natural grade (plus approaches at a maximum 4% grade), a geotextile woven fabric (conforming to FDOT Design Standards, Index 199, Class D-1 or D2) lain down with a minimum fabric overlap of 2 ft., and covered with a 12 inch thick coarse aggregate base consisting of limestone or granite 2-6 inches in diameter ($D_{50} = 4$ inches). A 12 inch thick rock apron consisting of 6-10 inch diameter material ($D_{50} = 8$ inches) will be placed on each side of the LWC. Road fill excavated from LWC construction will be disposed by using it for ditch plug material, spreading it on the existing management access road or other appropriate disposal area; it will not be placed into any wetland area or upland area that is managed in a natural state. Cut and fill estimates will be generated as LWC and associated ditch plug dimensions are finalized and reported when a Joint Application for Works in Waters of Florida is submitted to the USACE.

Construction activities are anticipated begin in 2013 and be completed prior to 2014, depending on weather, site conditions, and circumstances outside the control of the NWFWMD. Construction will be performed during dry weather and will be temporarily suspended during periods of heavy rainfall or high water levels. Grading and excavation activities are anticipated to be performed using heavy equipment such as backhoes, small bulldozers or excavators.

Best Management Practices (BMPs) for turbidity, sedimentation and erosion control will be implemented and maintained at all times during construction to prevent siltation and turbid discharges into waters of the state. Silt and sedimentation control measures will be installed and properly maintained at all points where runoff from disturbed areas could result in water quality violations of Chapter 62-302, F.A.C.

<u>Staging of Construction Activities</u>. The excavation and moving of soil materials will be scheduled in stages to minimize the size of areas disturbed and unprotected from erosion for the shortest reasonable time.

<u>Protection of Desirable Vegetation</u>. Stockpiling, vehicular parking and excessive foot or vehicular traffic will not be allowed within wetland areas. Material storage, fueling and servicing equipment, undertaking equipment maintenance, and cleaning will not be performed in or immediately adjacent to wetland areas. Erosion and sediment controls, such as silt fences, may be needed around the perimeter of stockpiles to prevent the transportation of soils from the area.

Best Management Practices. Erosion control measures which will minimize impacts to wetlands and wetland vegetation will be used during construction activities. This can be accomplished by the use of floating turbidity barriers, floating silt screen/curtains, sediment basins, earthen berms, and straw, geotextile or similar bale or log barriers which are free of exotic or noxious weed species. The use of staked silt fences is not recommended except to contain stockpiles in areas such as roadbeds that are outside wetland areas. Erosion controls where flowing water may be present, such as low water crossings, will require best management practices appropriate for the field conditions. Straw or similar bales or logs may not be appropriate where flowing water is present. Floating turbidity barriers or silt screen/curtains and temporary earthen berms are best management practices that may be used to prevent the transport of sediment in ditches, streams, and wetland waterways.

Stabilization of Disturbed Areas. Prompt stabilization of all disturbed areas will be undertaken during and after completion of the project. All disturbed areas will be stabilized within two weeks of disturbance. Suitable methods for stabilization are grading, establishment of a vegetative cover by mulching and/or seeding, and the use of geo-textiles. When seeding and mulching, Brown Top Millet seed or similar (free of exotic or noxious weed species) will be applied to disturbed areas and covered with approximately one (1) inch thick organic mulch of wheat straw (free of exotic or noxious weed species). Steep slopes are more susceptible to erosion than flatter slopes, so temporary mulching and quick establishment of vegetation are extremely important. Jute mats, or similar devises, may be used on steep slopes until the vegetation has become established to prevent erosion.

<u>Suspension of Work During Inclement Weather</u>. Construction will be carried out during dry weather conditions to the extent practical and erosion and sedimentation control measures will be implemented. Excavations and other construction activities will be suspended during periods of inclement weather or high water levels if there is potential for environmental damage.

<u>Inspection and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Control</u>. Routine inspection and maintenance of erosion and sedimentation control features will be provided until the project is complete. Barriers will be regularly maintained to insure their effectiveness. Sediments will be cleaned out periodically and before major predicted rainfall events.

<u>Removal of Sediment and Erosion Control Measures</u>. All temporary erosion control measures, whether temporary sediment basin, silt fence, straw bales, or other measures, will be removed following the successful establishment of vegetation.

7—Maintenance Plan

After hydrologic enhancements are implemented this site will be maintained in perpetuity by the St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve in accordance with their ARC-approved (Acquisition and Restoration Council) management plan. Prescribed fire will be a major tool in managing this site.

8—Performance Standards

- Low-water-crossings are installed at appropriate elevations.
- Non-failure of ditch plugs.

9—Monitoring

Monitoring protocols to ensure that the hydrologic enhancements are maintained will be conducted annually for a minimum of five years from the start of mitigation activities or as required by USACE permit conditions. Monitoring will be performed by NWFWMD staff or qualified consulting firms. All monitoring reports, expected to consist of general photos, panoramic photos, and site condition notes, will be posted at www.nwfwmdwetlands.com. Corrective measures will be taken if necessary.

10—Long-term Management

The enhanced forested wetlands will be managed long-term by the St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve in accordance with their ARC-approved (Acquisition and Restoration Council) management plan. The Buffer Preserve emphasizes prescribed fire as a management tool.

11—Adaptive Management Plan

If changes in the implementation of this mitigation plan become necessary due to the stochastic nature of ecological processes, the NWFWMD will first obtain approvals from the USACE.

12—Financial Assurances

The NWFWMD is a governmental entity created by the Florida Water Resources Act of 1972 with the mission of protecting water resources protection and ecosystem integrity. Funds are specifically earmarked to implement and maintain mitigation.


As of 11/30/2012, the NWFWMD had \$15,699,450.84 in a dedicated mitigation fund. This fund

was established to receive payment from sales of mitigation credits and to ensure adequate funding for the implementation and long-term management of mitigation sites, in accordance with 62-342.850 FAC.


Other Information


Any additional information requested by the USACE to determine the appropriateness, feasibility, and practicability of this compensatory mitigation project will be provided.


SR 30A from SR 30E/Cape San Blas Road north to US 98





0














Treasure Road Wetland Enhancements (St. Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve) UMAM Estimate - 2/8/2013

Polygon	UMAM Acres	L1	L2	W1	W1	C1	C2	W/Out	With	Raw Delta	Time Lag	P Factor	Risk	Adjusted Delta	UMAM Credits
A (LWCs and Associated Ditch Plugs)	37.96	8	8	7	8	8	9	0.77	0.83	0.07	1	1	1	0.07	2.657

(Total UMAM Credit)

2.66

UMAM In-house Estimate by NWFWMD Staff on February 8, 2013 (FRI).

(Total Wetland Enhancement Acreage)

Polygon "A" consists of 6 Low-Water-Crossings (LWCs) and associated ditche plugs. Delineating an area that is ecologically enhanced by construction of a LWC is inherently arbitrary. UMAM assumptions used here are that each LWC will enhance a surrounding area of 6.49 acres (this assumption is based on extensive experience implementing hydrologic enhancements in Tates Hell State Forest and has been previously accepted by the USACE). Because of polygon overlap, the total UMAM assessment acreage is 37.96 acres.

UMAM Acres - Area of assessment polygon.

37.96

- L1 Location and Landscape Support score (Pre-Mitigation).
- L2 Location and Landscape Support score (Post-Mitigation).
- W1 Water Environment score (Pre-Mitigation).
- W2 Water Environment score (Post-Mitigation).
- C1 Community Structure score (Pre-Mitigation).
- C2 Community Structure score (Post-Mitigation).
- W/Out UMAM Functional Value Pre-Mitigation (0 = No Value, 1 = 100% Functional Value).
- With UMAM Functional Value Post-Mitigation (0 = No Value, 1 = 100% Functional Value).
- Raw Delta "With" minus "W/Out" (the raw functional lift generated from implementation of the mitigation).
- Time Lag Lag between when mitigation is implemented and when target ecological conditions are achieved.
- P Factor Preservation Factor (only used for preservation-only mitigation projects).
- Risk Risk that mitigation project will fail.
- Adjusted Delta Functional lift of mitigation project adjusted for Time Lag, Risk, and Preservation Factor.
- UMAM Credits Functional UMAM Credits generated from mitigation project.

PART I – Qualitative Description (See Section 62-345.400, F.A.C.)

Site/Project Name		Application Number	er		Assessment Area Name or Number				
Treasure Road (St. Joseph Bay	Buffer Preserve)	Not A	Applicable		A - Six (6) Low-Water-Crossings				
FLUCCS code	Further classification	ation (optional)		Impac	mpact or Mitigation Site? Assessment Area				
625 / 630					Mitigation 37.96 Acre				
Basin/Watershed Name/Number Af St. Andrew Bay SWIM Basin	fected Waterbody (Cla	ss)	Special Classification (i.e.OFW, AP, other local/state/federal designation of importance)						
Geographic relationship to and hydro Wetlands contiguous to Saint Jos	-								
Assessment area description					•				
A mixture of high-quality Hydric P Mixed). Natural hydrologic flows a Joseph Bay State Buffer Preserve	are disrupted by Tr								
Significant nearby features				niqueness (considering the relative rarity in relation to the gional landscape.)					
St. Joseph Bay.			Not unique.						
Functions			Mitigation for pre	evious	permit/other historic us	se			
Water storage; water quality; flora	l and faunal habita	t.	None						
Anticipated Wildlife Utilization Based species that are representative of the expected to be found)		•		T, SS	by Listed Species (List C), type of use, and in				
									
Observed Evidence of Wildlife Utiliza	ation (List species di	rectly observed, o	r other signs suc	h as tr	acks, droppings, casin	igs, nests, etc.)			
Additional relevant factors									
Assessment conducted by			Assessment date	e(s)					
NWFWI	MD Staff								

	ART I	I – Quantification (See Section	of Assessment s 62-345.500 ar						
Site/Project Name		Application Number	er		Assessment Are	Assessment Area Name or Number			
Treasure Road (St. Jos	Buffer Preserve)	Not A	plicable		A (LWCs and	A (LWCs and Associated Ditch Plugs)			
Impact or Mitigation	Assessment cond			Assessment date	,				
Miti		MD Staff							
Scoring Guidance The scoring of each indicator is based on what would be suitable for the type of wetland or surface water assessed		Optimal (10) ondition is optimal and fully supports vetland/surface water functions	Moderate(Condition is les optimal, but suffi maintain me wetland/surfwaterfunction		Minimal (4) nal level of support of tland/surface water functions	vel of support of surface water Condition is insufficient to provide wetland/surface			
.500(6)(a) Location and Landscape Support w/out mit w/m 8 8	cros	nout Mitigation - Treas ssings may or may not p					allation of lo	w-water-	
.500(6)(b)Water Environmen (N/A for Uplands) w/out mit w/m 7 8	Witl mois rees	<u>Without Mitigation</u> - Treasure Road disrupts natural hydrologic regime, including sheetflows and wetland soil moisture conditions, within assessment polygon. <u>With Mitigation</u> - Hydrologic regime, including reestablishment of sheetflows, is enhanced.							
, ,									
.500(6)(c)Community structu Vegetation and/or Benthic Community	Witl St. cond mar	nout Mitigation - Veget Joseph Bay State Buffer ditions may negatively a nagement by Buffer Pres term vegetation comm	Preserve. However ffect long-term traje serve. Enhancement	er, long-te ectory of v	rm disr egetati	ruption of hydrologic floor on community. With I	ows and soi Mitigation -	I moisture Continued	
w/out mit w/m	t I								
8 9									
1 1									
Score = sum of above scores/30 uplands, divide by 20)	(if	Preservation Ad	ljustment Factor (PF) =	1		UMAM Funct	ional Asses	sment	
w/out mit w/m		Ti	me Lag Factor =	1					
0.77 0.8			Risk Factor =	1		Polygon	Acreage =	37.96	
Raw Delta = [w/mit - w/out mit]		Adjusted Delta [(Ra	w Delta * PF) / (T * R)] =	0.07		Functional Gain w/N (Adjusted Delta * /	Mitigation	2.66	

